arXiv:1701.06788v1 [math.AT] 24 Jan 2017

THREE APPLICATIONS OF DELOOPING APPLIED TO H-PRINCIPLES

ALEXANDER KUPERS

Abstract. In this paper we give three applications of a method to prove h-principles on closed manifolds. Under weaker conditions this method proves a homological h-principle, under stronger conditions it proves a homotopical one. The three applications are as follows: a homotopical version of Vassiliev's h-principle, the contractibility of the space of framed functions, and a version of Mather-Thurston theory.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Invariant topological sheaves 4
3. Group-like invariant topological sheaves 6
4. H-principles on closed manifolds 10
5. Application I: Vassiliev's h-principle 22
6. Application II: framed functions 33
7. Application III: foliations 36
Appendix A. Categories of spaces 39
Appendix B. A lemma about microfibrations 44
References 47

1. Introduction

In this paper we give three applications to geometric topology of two influential ideas in algebraic topology. The first idea goes back to Smale's work on immersions and concerns "h-principles" reducing geometric problems to homotopy-theoretic ones [Sma59]. The other is "delooping," which goes back to the recognition principle for iterated loop spaces [May72]. We will apply these techniques to give general conditions under which an h-principle holds on closed manifolds, and check these conditions are satisfied in three examples. These examples will be a homotopical version of Vassiliev's h-principle, the contractibility of the space of framed functions, and a version of Mather-Thurston theory.

Date: January 25, 2017.

Alexander Kupers was supported by a William R. Hewlett Stanford Graduate Fellowship, Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1105058, and was supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation (DNRF92).

1.1. ***H*-principles on closed manifolds.** Following Gromov, in this paper we study *invariant topological sheaves* Ψ *on* n*-manifolds* [Gro86]. The prototypical examples are sheaves of smooth functions which do not have singularities of a certain type. More precisely, such sheaves assign to each open subset U of a manifold the space of smooth functions on U whose singularities do not belong to a set $\mathcal{D}$ of singularities fixed beforehand. This describes a sheaf since one can restrict and glue such functions, and it is invariant if and only if the set $\mathcal{D}$ is invariant under diffeomorphisms.

Given an invariant topological sheaf Ψ, one can construct a germ map j to a sheaf Ψ^f which has values weakly equivalent to a space of sections of a bundle with fiber $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For the sheaf of smooth functions whose singularities do not belong to the subset $\mathcal{D}$ of the space of r-jets of smooth functions, Ψ^f is the space of sections of the subbundle of the r-jet bundle given by the complement of $\mathcal{D}$, and j sends a function to its r-jet. Thus the map j is given by the inclusion of functions into "formal functions." Alternatively, one can think it as the inclusion of "holonomic sections" into all sections.

To say that Ψ satisfies a *(parametrized) h-principle* is to say that the germ map j is a weak equivalence [EM02]. Spaces of sections are purely homotopy-theoretic and can be understood using the calculational tools of homotopy theory, so an h-principle reduces a geometric problem to a more tractable homotopy-theoretic problem. Thus h-principles provide a valuable connection between geometry and homotopy theory, and it is desirable to have general conditions under which Ψ satisfies an h-principle.

Gromov gives such conditions for sheaves on open manifolds [Gro86]. A manifold is said to be *open* if none of its path components is compact. We denote the elements of Ψ on M that satisfy a boundary condition b near ∂M by $\Psi(M \operatorname{rel} b)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Gromov)**.** *Let* CAT = Diff, PL *or* Top*, and suppose* Ψ *is a microflexible* CAT*-invariant topological sheaf on* n*-dimensional manifolds.*

Then there exists a flexible CAT*-invariant sheaf* Ψ^f*, whose values are weakly equivalent to a space of sections with fiber* $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, and a map of sheaves* $j\colon \Psi \to \Psi^f$ *such that*

$$j\colon \Psi(M \operatorname{rel} b) \to \Psi^f(M \operatorname{rel} j(b))$$

is a weak equivalence for all open CAT*-manifolds* M *of dimension* n *and boundary conditions* b *near* ∂M*.*

To prove our results we use an extension of this theory to closed manifolds. This extension uses delooping techniques to show that a sufficient condition for an h-principle on closed manifolds is that Ψ is *group-like*. This condition can take one of the following forms, the first strictly weaker than the second (for detailed definitions see Subsections 3.2 and 3.3):

- **(H):** the connected components of the values of Ψ on the cylinder $S^{n-1} \times [0,1]$ form a groupoid,
- **(W):** (H) holds and composing with an element representing an identity is a weak equivalences.

Theorem A. *Let* CAT = Diff, PL *or* TOP*, and suppose* Ψ *is a microflexible* CAT*-invariant topological sheaf on* n*-dimensional manifolds.*

(i) If Ψ is satisfies condition (H), then the map

$$j\colon \Psi(M \text{ rel } b) \xrightarrow{\cong_{H_*}} \Psi^f(M \text{ rel } j(b))$$

is homology equivalence for all CAT*-manifolds M of dimension n and boundary conditions b near ∂M.*

(ii) If Ψ is satisfies condition (W), then the map

$$j\colon \Psi(M \text{ rel } b) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Psi^f(M \text{ rel } j(b))$$

is weak equivalence for all CAT*-manifolds M of dimension n and boundary conditions b near ∂M.*

Though variations of this result are known among experts, we give its proof. In particular, we remark that Michael Weiss, Søren Galatius, Nathan Perlmutter and Chris Schommer-Pries independently have obtained a version of part (ii) in unpublished work. Furthermore, Emanuele Dotto gave a way to deduce relative h-principles from non-relative ones using similar ideas and as an application gives alternative proof of the Madsen-Weiss theorem [Dot14]. The earliest source of the "categorical approach" to h-principles known to the author is a collection of lecture notes due to Michael Weiss [Wei].

1.2. **Applications.** The goal of this paper is to give three applications of Theorem A. The first is the *contractibility of the space of framed functions*, see Corollary 6.4. It was originally proven by Eliashberg-Mishachev [EM12], and Galatius used similar techniques in an unpublished proof.

Corollary B. *The space $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}(M \text{ rel } b)$ of framed functions is contractible for all smooth manifolds M and boundary conditions b near ∂M.*

Our second application is a *generalization of Vassiliev's h-principle* [Vas92], see Corollary 5.12. It will be a consequence of Thom's jet transversality theorem and implies the h-principle for generalized Morse functions proven in [EM00].

Corollary C. *Suppose Z is a smooth manifold and $\mathcal{D}$ is a closed* Diff*-invariant stratified subset of codimension at least $n+2$ of the space of r-jets of smooth functions $\mathbb{R}^n \to Z$. Let $\mathcal{F}(-, \mathcal{D})$ denote the space of functions to Z with r-jet avoiding $\mathcal{D}$, then we have that*

$$j\colon \mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{D} \text{ rel } b) \to \mathcal{F}^f(M, \mathcal{D} \text{ rel } j(b))$$

is a homology equivalence for all smooth manifolds M of dimension n and boundary conditions b near ∂M. If $\mathcal{D}$ is additionally Diff(Z)*-invariant, then this map is in fact a weak equivalence.*

Finally, we give short proof of a *version of Mather-Thurston theory for foliations*. Let $\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(-)$ denote the space of codimension n CAT-foliations as in Definition 7.2.

Corollary D. *The map $j\colon \mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M, b) \to \mathrm{Fol}^f_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M, j(b))$ is a homology equivalence for all* CAT*-manifolds M of dimension n and boundary conditions b near ∂M.*

1.3. **Conventions.** We fix some conventions.

Convention 1.2. Fix a category CAT of manifolds: CAT = Diff, PL, TOP. All our manifolds are second countable and metrizable (which implies Hausdorff and paracompact).

Convention 1.3. The category of spaces S will be $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ as in Appendix A.

1.4. **Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Søren Galatius for sharing his ideas on h-principles and much helpful advice, Oscar Randal-Williams for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of this paper, and Sam Nariman for comments on an early draft. We thank Chris Schommer-Pries and Michael Weiss for historical comments. Furthermore, we would like to thank Yasha Eliashberg, Daniel Alvarez-Gavela, Jeremy Miller, and Nathan Perlmutter for stimulating discussions about various h-principles.

2. Invariant topological sheaves

In this section we discuss the objects appearing in our h-principle.

2.1. **Sheaves.** In this subsection we will define the CAT-invariant topological sheaves that are the subject of this paper. To do so, we start by defining topological categories of different types of manifolds and embeddings. To prevent confusion, we recall that a *topological category* has a set of objects and spaces of morphisms.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathsf{Mfd}_n^{\mathrm{CAT}}$ be the topological category defined as follows:

- Objects are n-dimensional CAT-manifolds without boundary.
- Morphism spaces are given by spaces of CAT-embeddings: that is, $\mathrm{Hom}(M,N)$ is the object $\mathrm{Emb}^{\mathrm{CAT}}(M,N)$ of $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ which assigns to a parametrizing manifold P the set of CAT-maps $P \times M \to P \times N$ over P that are CAT-isomorphisms onto their image.

We can now define CAT-invariant topological sheaves.

Definition 2.2. Let CAT be Diff, PL or Top.

- A CAT-*invariant topological presheaf on n-dimensional* CAT-*manifolds* is a continuous functor $\Psi\colon (\mathsf{Mfd}_n^{\mathrm{CAT}})^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathsf{S}$. That is, there are continuous maps $\mathrm{Emb}(M,M') \times \Psi(M') \to \Psi(M)$ compatible with composition and identities. If we restrict to $\phi \in \mathrm{Emb}(U,M)$ given by the inclusion of codimension zero submanifold U of M, we will denote this by $(-)|_U$.
- A CAT-*invariant topological sheaf on n-dimensional* CAT-*manifolds* is a presheaf Ψ that has the property that for all open covers $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M the following diagram is an equalizer in S:
$$\Psi(M) \longrightarrow \textstyle\prod_{i\in I} \Psi(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j\in I} \Psi(U_i \cap U_j)$$
- A *map of* CAT-*invariant topological sheaves* is a continuous natural transformation.

Example 2.3. We give a number of examples of CAT-invariant topological sheaves:

- Fix a space X, and let $\Psi(M) = \mathrm{Map}(M,X)$ be the space of continuous maps in compact-open topology. If $\mathsf{S} = \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$, then the value on a parametrizing manifold P is the set of continuous map $P \times M \to X$. This generalizes to spaces of sections of bundles naturally associated to M, like the following example.
- Suppose $\mathrm{CAT} = \mathrm{Diff}$, and let $\mathrm{Riem}(M)$ be the space of Riemannian metrics on M, topologized as a subspace of the sections of $T^*M \otimes T^*M$ in the weak C^∞-topology. If $\mathsf{S} = \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$, then the value on a parametrizing manifold P is a subset of the set of sections of $\pi^*(T^*M \otimes T^*)$ over $P \times M$, where $\pi\colon P \times M \to M$ denotes the projection.

- Let CAT = PL, or $n \neq 4$ and CAT = Top. For a CAT-manifold M let $\mathrm{Sm}(M)$ be the object of $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ which assigns to parametrizing manifold P the set of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times M & \xrightarrow[\cong]{F} & N \\ & {\scriptstyle \pi_2} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle f} & \\ & P & \end{array}$$

  with π_2 the projection, N a smooth manifold (taken inside $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ for set-theoretical reasons), f a smooth submersion, and F a CAT-isomorphism. Then the CAT-invariant topological sheaf $M \mapsto \mathrm{Sm}(M)$ is the subject of smoothing theory [BL74, KS77].

2.2. **Boundary conditions.** It is often useful to make relative definitions. In our case that means defining boundary conditions near closed subsets and considering subspaces of Ψ of elements satisfying such boundary conditions. This is the content of the following definitions.

2.2.1. *Manifolds with corners.* As the terminology indicates, boundary conditions will often be imposed near the boundary of a manifold. Our sheaves are not defined on manifolds with boundary, but there is a canonical way to extend Ψ to manifolds with boundary (or more generally corners, when CAT = Diff), as long as these are submanifolds of an object of $\mathsf{Mfd}_n^{\mathrm{CAT}}$.

Definition 2.4. If M is a manifold with corners contained in $N \in \mathsf{Mfd}_n^{\mathrm{CAT}}$, then let I_M be the directed set of open neighborhoods U of M in N (where there is a unique morphism $U \to U'$ if $U' \subset U$). We define $\Psi(M \subset N)$ as the colimit (taken in S)

$$\Psi(M \subset N) \coloneqq \operatorname*{colim}_{U \in \mathsf{I}_M} \Psi(U)$$

The colimit in Definition 2.4 exists since our choice $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ for S is cocomplete. Furthermore, on compact parametrizing manifolds P the colimit is computed pointwise as a colimit of sets. Hence it is well-behaved, in contrast with colimits in Top.

Convention 2.5. If M is a manifold with corners contained in $N \in \mathsf{Mfd}_n^{\mathrm{CAT}}$ and N is clear from the context – e.g. the simplex Δ^n sitting inside the extended simplex Δ^n_e – we write $\Psi(M)$ for $\Psi(M \subset N)$.

2.2.2. *Boundary conditions.* Our next goal is to define boundary conditions and subspaces of $\Psi(M)$ of elements satisfying a boundary condition.

Definition 2.6. Let M be a manifold with corners and $A \subset M$ be a closed subset of M. Let I_A be the directed set of open neighborhoods $U \subset M$ of A (where there is a unique morphism $U \to U'$ if $U' \subset U$). The *set of boundary conditions of* Ψ *near* A is given by the colimit (taken in Set)

$$\mathcal{B}_\Psi(A) \coloneqq \operatorname*{colim}_{U \in \mathsf{I}_A} \Psi(U)$$

By definition of the colimit there is a map of sets $\beta_A \colon \Psi(U) \to \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A)$ for all neighborhoods $U \subset M$ of A. Thus any element f of $\Psi(U)$ gives rise to a boundary condition $\beta_A(f)$ near A. We say that $f \in \Psi(U)$ satisfies $b \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A)$ if $\beta_A(f) = b$.

Definition 2.7. Let M be a manifold with corners, $A \subset M$ be a closed subset of M and $b \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A)$ a boundary condition. Let I_b be the directed set of pairs (U, f) of open neighborhoods U of A and elements f of $\Psi(U)$ satisfying b (where there is a unique morphism $(U, f) \to (U', f')$ if $U' \subset U$ and $f|_{U'} = f'$).

We let $\Psi(M \operatorname{rel} (U, f))$ be the subspace of $\Psi(M)$ of those g such that $g|_{U \cap M} = f|_{U \cap M}$, then we define the *space of elements of* Ψ *satisfying* b as the colimit (taken in S)

$$\Psi(M \operatorname{rel} b) \coloneqq \operatorname*{colim}_{(U,f) \in \mathsf{I}_b} \Psi(M \operatorname{rel} (U, f))$$

Boundary conditions can be restricted and pulled back:

- If $A' \subset A$, there is a map of directed sets $\mathsf{I}_A \to \mathsf{I}_{A'}$ given by considering (U, f) with U a neighborhood of A as a neighborhood of A'. This induces a *restriction* map $(-)|_{A'} \colon \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A) \to \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A')$. We say that $b \in \mathcal{B}(A)$ *extends* $B \in \mathcal{B}(A')$ if $b|_{A'} = B$.
- If $\phi \colon M \to M'$ is an embedding, there is the map of directed sets $\phi^{-1} \colon \mathsf{I}_{A'} \to \mathsf{I}_{\phi^{-1}(A')}$ given by $U' \mapsto \phi^{-1}(U')$. This induces a *pullback* map $\phi^* \colon \mathcal{B}(A') \to \mathcal{B}(\phi^{-1}(A'))$.

3. Group-like invariant topological sheaves

In this section we make precise the conditions mentioned in the introduction.

3.1. Microflexibility.

As in Gromov's theory [Gro86], a major role is played by the notion of a microfibration:

Definition 3.1. A map $g \colon E \to B$ is a *microfibration* if for all $i \geq 0$ and each diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^i \times \{0\} & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \Delta^i \times [0,1] & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and a lift over $\Delta^i \times [0, \epsilon]$.

As expected, the condition of microflexibility requires certain maps to microflexible.

Definition 3.2. We say that a CAT-invariant topological sheaf Ψ is *microflexible* if for all pairs of $Q \subset R$ of compact subsets in M, the restriction map

$$\Psi(R \subset M) \to \Psi(Q \subset M)$$

is a microfibration.

Having a condition for all compact subsets makes microflexiblity seem hard to check. However, the following lemma say it suffices to check this condition only for particular $Q \subset R$.

Lemma 3.3. *A* CAT*-invariant topological sheaf Ψ is microflexible for all pairs $Q \subset R$ of compact subsets if and only if it is microflexible for all pairs $Q \subset R$ where Q is n-dimensional submanifold admitting a finite handle decomposition and R is obtained from Q by adding a single handle.*

Proof. The direction $\Leftarrow$ is obvious. For $\Rightarrow$ we note that since a composition of microfibrations is a microfibration, the right hand side implies the case where R is obtained from Q by attaching finitely many handles. To obtain the right hand side, we note that for every pair of opens (U, V) containing (K, L) there exists a pair of compact n-dimensional manifolds with finite handle decompositions (P, Q) such that $(K, L) \subset (P, Q) \subset (U, V)$. To see this, subdivide the handles in some handle decomposition of M sufficiently many times. In the case of compact topological 4-manifolds, which might not admit a handle decomposition, one must first remove some points and use that every topological 4-manifold without compact path components is smoothable. □

3.2. **Condition (H).** We now start discussing senses in which a sheaf can be group-like. The statements will involve non-unital categories, i.e. a categories without specified identity elements.

Definition 3.4. We define a topological non-unital category C^Ψ as follows:

- Objects are pairs $|t, b|$ of $t > 0$ and a boundary condition $b \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(S^{n-1} \times \{t\})$.
- The space of morphisms from $|t, b|$ to $|t', b'|$ is given by

$$\mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t, b|, |t', b'|) \coloneqq \begin{cases} \varnothing & \text{if } t \geq t' \\ \Psi(S^{n-1} \times [t, t'] \operatorname{rel} b \sqcup b') & \text{if } t < t' \end{cases}$$

- Composition of $f_0 \in \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t, b|, |t', b'|)$ and $f_1 \in \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t', b'|, |t'', b''|)$ is given by concatenation

$$\circledcirc \colon \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t, b|, |t', b'|) \times \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t', b'|, |t'', b''|) \to \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t, b|, |t'', b''|)$$
$$(f_0, f_1) \mapsto \left((x, t) \mapsto \begin{cases} f_0(x, t) & \text{if } t \in [t_0, t_1] \\ f_1(x, t) & \text{if } t \in (t_1, t_2] \end{cases} \right)$$

  whose precise definition uses the sheaf property of Ψ and the fact that by definition f_0 and f_1 are defined on neighborhoods of $S^{n-1} \times [t_0, t_1]$ and $S^{n-1} \times [t_1, t_2]$ in $S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$.

For condition (H), what is relevant is not the actual category C^Ψ but its category of path components.

Definition 3.5. Out of C^Ψ we construct a non-unital category $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi]$ as follows:

- Objects are boundary conditions $b \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(S^{n-1} \times \{1\})$.
- Morphisms from b_0 to b_1 are given by the set $\pi_0(\Psi(S^{n-1} \times [1, 2] \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_1))$.
- Composition is given by

$$\circledcirc \colon [\mathsf{C}^\Psi](b_0, b_1) \times [\mathsf{C}^\Psi](b_1, b_2) \to [\mathsf{C}^\Psi](b_0, b_2)$$
$$([f_0], [f_1]) \mapsto [(\mathrm{id}_{S^{n-1}} \times \lambda)^*(f_1 \circledcirc f_0)]$$

  where $\mathrm{id}_{S^{n-1}} \times \lambda \colon S^{n-1} \times [1, 2] \to S^{n-1} \times [1, 3]$ is obtained from a CAT-isomorphism $\lambda \colon [1, 2] \to [1, 3]$ equal to $t \mapsto t$ near 1 and to $t \mapsto t + 1$ near 2. To check composition is well-defined and associative, one uses that Ψ is CAT-invariant.

For categories, having identities is a property, not a structure. An element $f \in \mathsf{C}(c, c)$ is an identity element if composition on the left (resp. right) with it induces the identity on all

morphism sets $\mathsf{C}(c,c')$ (resp. $\mathsf{C}(c',c)$). If we can consistently give a non-unital category C identity elements, these are unique: if $\mathsf{C}(c,c)$ contains identity elements id_c and id'_c, then these satisfy $\mathrm{id}_c = \mathrm{id}_c\mathrm{id}'_c = \mathrm{id}'_c$. Recall that a unital category is a groupoid if each morphism has an inverse.

Definition 3.6. We say that Ψ satisfies *condition (H)* if the category $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi]$ is a groupoid.

Example 3.7. If $\pi_0(\Psi(S^{n-1}\times[1,2]\,\mathrm{rel}\,b_0 \sqcup b_1))$ consists of a single element for all boundary conditions b_0 and b_1, then $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi]$ is a groupoid.

3.3. **Condition (W).** The next condition is a strengthening of condition (H).

Definition 3.8. The *components* of a category C are given by the set of objects of C under the equivalence relation generated by declaring $x \sim x'$ if there is a morphism $x \to x'$.

The set of components of C is in natural bijection with $\pi_0(B\mathsf{C})$, the path components of its classifying space.

Definition 3.9. We say a boundary condition $b \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(S^{n-1})$ is *fillable* if $\Psi(D^n\,\mathrm{rel}\,b) \neq \varnothing$.

Note that if condition (H) is satisfied, then the full subcategory of $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi]$ on fillable boundary conditions is a union of path components. This definition may seem ambiguous, as $S^{n-1} \subset S^n$ bounds two different disks D^n_+ and D^n_-. However, part (i) of Theorem A, which does not depend on condition (W) or the notion of fillable boundary conditions, implies the following:

Lemma 3.10. *Let $b \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(S^{n-1})$, and suppose that Ψ satisfies condition (H). Then $\Psi(D^n_+\,rel\,b) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $\Psi(D^n_-\,rel\,b) \neq \varnothing$.*

Definition 3.11. We say Ψ satisfies *condition (W)* if it satisfies condition (H) and in each fillable component of $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi]$, there exist boundary conditions b_s, b_e, real numbers $t_s < t_e$ and an element $\iota \in \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t_s,b_s|,|t_e,b_e|)$ such that the map $\iota \circledcirc -$ is a weak equivalence.

Example 3.12. Condition (W) is particularly easy to check in the situation of Example 3.7; one just needs to find a single element ι which acts by a weak equivalence. Often this takes the form of a "constant" or "linear" element.

3.4. **Flexible sheaves.** We will describe a closely related class of sheaves that always satisfy conditions (H) and (W). These were studied before by Gromov [Gro86].

Definition 3.13. We say that a CAT-invariant topological sheaf Γ is *flexible* if for all pairs of $Q \subset R$ of compact subsets in M, the restriction map

$$\Gamma(R \subset M) \to \Gamma(Q \subset M)$$

is a Serre fibration.

As in Lemma 3.3, it suffices to check this only for those $Q \subset R$ where Q is a n-dimensional submanifold with a finite handle decomposition and R is obtained from Q by attaching a single handle.

Example 3.14. If Γ is obtained by taking sections of some natural bundle over M, then Γ will be flexible, as restricting sections along a cofibration is a Serre fibration. In particular, the sheaf Riem of Riemannian metrics from Example 2.3, is flexible.

As Serre fibrations are microfibrations, a flexible sheaf is microflexible. It also always satisfies conditions (H) and (W).

Lemma 3.15. *A flexible sheaf Γ satisfies conditions (H) and (W).*

Proof. We will show Γ has the property that for all $g \in \mathsf{C}^\Gamma(|t,b|,|t',b'|)$ the maps

$$g \circledcirc -\colon \Gamma\left(S^{n-1}\times[t'',t] \operatorname{rel} b''\sqcup b\right) \to \Gamma\left(S^{n-1}\times[t'',t'] \operatorname{rel} b''\sqcup b'\right)$$
$$-\circledcirc g\colon \Gamma\left(S^{n-1}\times[t',t''] \operatorname{rel} b'\sqcup b''\right) \to \Gamma\left(S^{n-1}\times[t,t''] \operatorname{rel} b\sqcup b''\right)$$

are weak equivalences. We will give a proof in the second case, the other case being similar. Suppose we are given a parametrizing manifold P and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial P & \xrightarrow{f} & \Gamma(S^{n-1}\times[t',t''] \operatorname{rel} b'\sqcup b'') \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle -\circledcirc g} \\ P & \xrightarrow[F]{} & \Gamma(S^{n-1}\times[t,t''] \operatorname{rel} b\sqcup b'') \end{array}$$

then we need to construct a dotted lift making the top triangle commute and the bottom triangle commute up to homotopy rel ∂P. Without loss of generality there is a neighborhood U of ∂P in P over which a lift already exists.

Consider the following construction, whose use will become clear later in this proof. Pick $\epsilon > 0$ such that $t''-\epsilon > t'$. By composing the bottom map with restriction to $S^{n-1}\times([t,t']\cup[t''-\epsilon,t''])$, we obtain a map

$$\tilde{F}\colon P \to \Gamma\left(S^{n-1}\times([t,t']\cup[t''-\epsilon,t'']) \subset S^{n-1}\times[t,t''] \operatorname{rel} b\sqcup b''\right)$$

There is a $\delta>0$ such that the restriction to $S^{n-1}\times[t,t+\delta]$ is independent of $p\in P$.

Now pick a family ϕ_s of self-embeddings of $S^{n-1}\times([t,t']\cup[t''-\epsilon,t''])$ such that

(i) ϕ_0 is the identity,
(ii) for each s, ϕ_s is the identity on $S^{n-1}\times[t''-\epsilon,t'']$ and near $S^{n-1}\times\{t\}$,
(iii) ϕ_s maps $S^{n-1}\times[t,t']$ into $S^{n-1}\times[t,t+(t'-t)/(s+1)]$.

Then there exists a CAT function $\sigma\colon P\to[0,\infty)$ that is 0 near ∂P and so that $(t'-t)/(\sigma(p)+1)<\delta$ for $p\in P\setminus U$. Consider the family

$$P\times[0,1] \to \Gamma\left(S^{n-1}\times([t,t']\cup[t''-\epsilon,t'']) \subset S^{n-1}\times[t,t''] \operatorname{rel} b\sqcup b''\right)$$
$$(p,s)\mapsto \phi^*_{s\sigma(p)}\tilde{F}(p)$$

The important property of this family is that for $s=1$, the restriction of $\phi^*_{\sigma(p)}\tilde{F}(p)$ to $S^{n-1}\times[t,t']$ is pulled back from $S^{n-1}\times[t,t+\delta]$, where it is independent of $p\in P$.

Thus, at $s=1$ this family coincides with the result for $s=1$ of applying the same construction to the map

$$f_g\colon P\to\Gamma\left(S^{n-1}\times([t,t']\cup[t''-\epsilon,t'']\right)\subset S^{n-1}\times[t,t''] \operatorname{rel} b\sqcup b'')$$

that on $S^{n-1}\times[t,t']$ is equal to g and on $S^{n-1}\times[t''-\epsilon,t'']$ equal the restriction of f. We can concatenate $(p,s)\mapsto\phi^*_{s\sigma(p)}\tilde{F}(p)$ and $(p,s)\mapsto\phi^*_{(1-s)\sigma(p)}f_g(p)$ to a family

$$P\times[0,2]\to\Gamma\left(S^{n-1}\times([t,t']\cup[t''-\epsilon,t'']) \subset S^{n-1}\times[t,t''] \operatorname{rel} b\right)$$

Since this is constant near ∂P, it fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times \{0\} \cup (\partial P \times [0,2]) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma\left(S^{n-1} \times [t,t''] \operatorname{rel} b \sqcup b''\right) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P \times [0,2] & \longrightarrow & \Gamma\left(S^{n-1} \times ([t,t'] \cup [t''-\epsilon,t'']) \subset S^{n-1} \times [t,t''] \operatorname{rel} b \sqcup b''\right) \end{array}$$

Since the restriction map is a Serre fibration, there is a lift. This lift induces a homotopy rel ∂P from $f\colon P \to \Gamma(S^{n-1} \times [t,t''] \operatorname{rel} b \sqcup b'')$ to a map that is in the image of $- \circledcirc g$. □

Thus Theorem A says that flexible sheaves satisfy an h-principle, a result due to Gromov. Conversely, if a microflexible sheaf satisfies an h-principle, then it is flexible. We give the proof, because it uses an independently interesting folklore result about microfibrations proved in Appendix B.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $\Psi \to \Phi$ be a weak equivalence of* CAT*-invariant topological sheaves, and suppose that Ψ is microflexible and Φ is flexible. Then Ψ is flexible as well.*

Proof. It suffices to check that for all pairs $Q \subset R \subset M$ of n-dimensional compact submanifolds with corners in M, so that R is obtained from Q by attaching a single handle, the restriction map

$$\Psi(R \subset M) \to \Psi(Q \subset M)$$

is a Serre fibration. We know it is a microfibration and there is a weak equivalence $\Psi \to \Phi$ to a flexible sheaf. Note that the fiber over $a \in \Psi(Q \subset M)$ is given by $\Psi(I^k \times I^{n-k} \operatorname{rel} a)$, and similarly for Φ, and by assumption the induced map on fibers is a weak equivalence. Now apply Lemma B.1, which says that if we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{g} & E' \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p'} \\ B & \xrightarrow[f]{} & B' \end{array}$$

such that

(i) p is a microfibration,
(ii) p' is a Serre fibration,
(iii) for all $b \in B$, the induced map on fibers $g\colon p^{-1}(b) \to (p')^{-1}(f(b))$ is a weak equivalence,

then p is a Serre fibration. □

4. H-principles on closed manifolds

We will now prove Theorem A in the following slightly stronger technical version.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* CAT = Diff, PL *or* TOP. *Let $j\colon \Psi \to \Gamma$ be a morphism of* CAT*-invariant topological sheaves on n-manifolds. Suppose that*

(i) Ψ is microflexible,
(ii) Γ is flexible, and
(iii) $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a weak equivalence.

Let M be a CAT*-manifold of dimension n, $A \subset M$ a closed subset, and $a \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A \subset M)$ a boundary condition.*

- *If Ψ is satisfies condition (H), then the map*
$$j\colon \Psi(M \text{ rel } a) \xrightarrow{\cong_{H_*}} \Gamma(M \text{ rel } j(a))$$
is homology equivalence.
- *If Ψ is satisfies condition (W), then the map*
$$j\colon \Psi(M \text{ rel } a) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma(M \text{ rel } j(a))$$
is weak equivalence.

To deduce Theorem A, one uses that Gromov constructed for each microflexible CAT-invariant sheaf Ψ a natural CAT-invariant flexible sheaf Ψ^f, with a map $j\colon \Psi \to \Psi^f$ of sheaves. He further proved that $\Psi^f(M)$ is weakly equivalent to the space of sections of the bundle $\mathrm{Fr}(TM) \times_{O(n)} \Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ over M, which has fiber $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. All of this is described in Section 2.2.2 of [Gro86].

We will need the precise statement of Gromov's h-principle for open manifolds, previously Theorem 1.1. The following is a combination of the results in Section 2.2.2 of [Gro86] and Appendix V.A of [KS77], the latter of which explicitly avoids assuming the existence of a handle decomposition when CAT = Top, which may not exist in dimension 4.

Theorem 4.2 (Gromov-Siebenmann)**.** *Let $j\colon \Psi \to \Gamma$ be a map of* CAT*-invariant sheaves. Suppose that*

(i) Ψ is microflexible,
(ii) Γ is flexible, and
(iii) $j\colon \Psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a weak equivalence.

Let M be a manifold, $A \subset M$ be a closed subset so that $M \setminus A$ has no path components with compact closure in M, and $a \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A \subset M)$ be a boundary condition. Then
$$j\colon \Psi(M \text{ rel } a) \to \Gamma(M \text{ rel } j(a))$$
is a weak equivalence.

4.1. **Composition of cylinders.** We start by proving that under conditions (H) or (W) composition with a morphism in C^Ψ is a homology equivalence or a weak equivalence. It will be useful to consider the following intermediate notion.

Definition 4.3. A map $f\colon X \to Y$ is a *semi-equivalence* if for all parametrizing manifolds P with boundary ∂P and commutative diagrams



there is a map L such that the top and bottom triangle commute up to independent homotopy (e.g. we do not require the homotopy for the bottom triangle to be rel ∂P).

The following is then an easy consequence of the fact that a map is a homology equivalence if and only if it is an oriented bordism equivalence.

Lemma 4.4. *A semi-equivalence $f\colon X \to Y$ is a homology equivalence and is injective on homotopy groups.*

Proposition 4.5. *Let Ψ be a* CAT*-invariant topological sheaf on n-manifolds. For $t_0 < t_1 < t_2$ and an element*

$$g \in \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t_1, b_1|, |t_2, b_2|) = \Psi(S^{n-1} \times [t_1, t_2] \mathit{\ rel\ } b_1 \sqcup b_2)$$

consider the map

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t_0, b_0|, |t_1, b_1|) = \Psi(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, t_1] \mathit{\ rel\ } b_0 \sqcup b_1) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle g\circledcirc -} \\ \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t_0, b_0|, |t_2, b_2|) = \Psi(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, t_2] \mathit{\ rel\ } b_0 \sqcup b_2) \end{array}$$

(i) If Ψ satisfies condition (H), the map $g \circledcirc -$ is a semi-equivalence as in Definition 4.3.
(ii) If Ψ satisfies condition (W) and b_0 (or equivalently b_1 or b_2) is fillable, the map $g \circledcirc -$ is a weak equivalence.

Proof. We start with part (i). We have to prove that for each compact parametrizing manifold P with boundary ∂P and each commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial P & \xrightarrow{f} & \Psi\left(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, t_1] \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_1\right) \\ \big\downarrow & \nearrow & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle g\circledcirc -} \\ P & \xrightarrow[F]{} & \Psi\left(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, t_2] \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_2\right) \end{array} \tag{1}$$

there is a dotted lift $L\colon P \to \Psi\left(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, t_1] \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_1\right)$ such that the top and bottom triangle independently commute up to homotopy.

Since our category of spaces S is $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$, there exists a neighborhood U_1 of $\partial S^{n-1} \times \{t_1\}$ such that $f|_{U_1}$ is independent of $p \in \partial P$, and a neighborhood U_2 of $\partial S^{n-1} \times \{t_2\}$ such that $F|_{U_2}$ is independent of $p \in P$. Let $\tau_1 \in (t_0, t_1)$ be such that $S^{n-1} \times [\tau_1, t_1] \subset U_1$ and let f^τ denote the restriction of $f(p)$ to $S^{n-1} \times [\tau_1, t_1] \subset U_1$ for any $p \in \partial P$ (it is by construction independent of p). Similarly, let $\tau_2 \in (t_1, t_2)$ be such that $S^{n-1} \times [\tau_2, t_2] \subset U_2$ and let g' and g^τ denote the restrictions of g to $S^{n-1} \times [t_1, \tau_2]$ and $S^{n-1} \times [\tau_2, t_2]$ respectively, so that $g^\tau \circledcirc g' = g$. Then we can factor our commutative diagram as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial P & \xrightarrow{f'} & \Psi\left(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, \tau_1] \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup \beta_1\right) & \xrightarrow{f^\tau\circledcirc -} & \Psi\left(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, t_1] \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_1\right) \\ \big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle g'\circledcirc f^\tau\circledcirc -} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle g'\circledcirc -} & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle g^\tau\circledcirc g'\circledcirc -} \\ P & \xrightarrow[F']{} & \Psi\left(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, \tau_2] \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup \beta_2\right) & \xrightarrow[g^\tau\circledcirc -]{} & \Psi\left(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, t_2] \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_2\right) \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where f', F', β_1 and β_2 are uniquely determined by demanding this diagram is a factorization of (1).

By condition (H), the morphism $[g']$ of $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi](b_1, \beta_2)$ has an inverse, which we can represent by an $(g')^{-1} \in \Psi(S^{n-1}\times[\tau_2, t_2]\mathrm{rel}\beta_2 \sqcup b_1)$. We claim the lift $L\colon P \to \Psi(S^{n-1}\times[t_0, t_1]\mathrm{rel}b_0 \sqcup b_1)$ is given by

$$L \coloneqq \lambda_*[((g')^{-1} \circledcirc -) \circ F']$$

where $\lambda\colon [0, \tau_2] \to [0, t_1]$ is a CAT-isomorphism satisfying

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} t + (t_1 - \tau_2) & \text{near } \tau_2 \\ t & \text{if } t \in [0, \tau_1] \end{cases}$$

To prove this is indeed the desired map, we have to check $L|_{\partial P} \sim (f^\tau \circledcirc -) \circ f' = f$ and $(g^\tau \circledcirc g' \circledcirc -) \circ L \sim (g^\tau \circledcirc -) \circ F' = F$. For the former we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L|_{\partial P} &= \lambda_*[((g')^{-1} \circledcirc -) \circ F'|_{\partial P}] \\ &= \lambda_*[(g')^{-1} \circledcirc -) \circ (g' \circledcirc -) \circ (f^\tau \circledcirc -) \circ f'] \\ &= \lambda_*[(((g')^{-1} \circledcirc g' \circledcirc f^\tau) \circledcirc -) \circ f'] \\ &= [\lambda_*((g')^{-1} \circledcirc g' \circledcirc f^\tau) \circledcirc -] \circ \lambda_*(f') \\ &= [\lambda_*((g')^{-1} \circledcirc g' \circledcirc f^\tau) \circledcirc -] \circ f' \\ &\sim [f^\tau \circledcirc -] \circ f' = f \end{aligned}$$

The first and second step are definitions, the third is the associativity of composition. In the fourth step we use the compatibility between composition and CAT-invariance. In the second-to-last step we use that λ fixes $[0, \tau_1]$ pointwise to see that $\lambda_*(f') = f'$. In the last step we use that $\lambda_*((g')^{-1} \circledcirc g' \circledcirc f^\tau) \in \Psi(S^{n-1} \times [\tau_1, t_1] \operatorname{rel} \beta_1 \sqcup b_1)$ is homotopic to f^τ, as $\lambda_*((g')^{-1} \circ g' \circ f^\tau)$ and f^τ are both representatives in $\Psi(S^{n-1} \times [\tau_1, t_1] \operatorname{rel} \beta_1 \sqcup b_1)$ of the morphism $[f^\tau]$ in $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi]$.

To check that $(g^\tau \circledcirc g' \circledcirc -) \circ L \sim (g' \circledcirc -) \circ F' = F$, we let $\lambda^1\colon [t_1, t_2] \to [\tau_2, t_2 + \tau_2 - t_1]$ and $\lambda^2\colon [0, t_2 + \tau_2 - 1] \to [0, t_2]$ be CAT-isomorphisms satisfying

$$\lambda^1(t) = t + (\tau_2 - t_1) \qquad \lambda^2(t) = \begin{cases} t + (t_1 - \tau_2) & \text{if } t \in [t_2, t_2 + \tau_2 - t_1] \\ \lambda(t) & \text{if } t \in [0, \tau_2] \end{cases}$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (g^\tau \circledcirc g' \circledcirc -) \circ L &= (g^\tau \circledcirc g' \circledcirc -) \circ \lambda_*[((g')^{-1} \circledcirc -) \circ F'] \\ &= \lambda^2_*[(\lambda^1_*(g^\tau \circledcirc g') \circledcirc -) \circ ((g')^{-1} \circledcirc -) \circ F'] \\ &= [(\lambda^2_*(\lambda^1_*(g^\tau \circledcirc g') \circledcirc (g')^{-1})) \circledcirc -] \circ F' \\ &\sim [g^\tau \circledcirc -] \circ F' = F \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used that $\lambda^2_*(\lambda^1_*(g^\tau \circ g') \circ (g')^{-1})$ and g^τ are both representatives in $\Psi(S^{n-1} \times [\tau_2, t_2] \operatorname{rel} \beta_2 \sqcup b_2)$ of the morphism $[g^\tau]$ in $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi]$.

We continue with part (ii). The argument for part (i) does not give a weak equivalence because $(g \circledcirc -) \circ L$ is not homotopic to F rel ∂P. It does show that $g \circledcirc -$ is injective on homotopy groups, by Lemma 4.4.

For surjectivity on homotopy groups under condition (W), fix a fillable path component P of C^Ψ and identity element $\iota_P \in \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t_s, b_s|, |t_e, b_e|)$ in P. Let us first consider a morphism $g \in \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t_s, b_s|, |t, b|)$ in P with $t_s < t < t_e$. Note that we have

$$(\iota_P \circledcirc -) \sim (h \circledcirc -) \circ (g \circledcirc -)$$

where h is a representative in $\Psi(S^{n-1} \times [t, t_e] \operatorname{rel} b \sqcup b_e)$ of the morphism $[\iota_P \circ g^{-1}]$ in $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi]$. As $\iota_P \circledcirc -$ was assumed to be a weak equivalence, we have that $h \circledcirc -$ is surjective on homotopy groups. But it was already known to be injective, and hence is a weak equivalence. By the 2-out-of-3 property for weak equivalences, so is $(g \circledcirc -)$. Similarly, $g \circledcirc -$ is a weak equivalence for any $g \in \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t, b|, |t_e, b_e|)$ with $t_s < t < t_e$.

Now suppose we are given an arbitrary morphism $g \in \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t_0, b_0|, |t_1, b_1|)$ in P. Pick arbitrary $t_s < \tau_0 < \tau_1 < t_e$, then there is a CAT-automorphism $\lambda \colon [t_0, t_1] \to [\tau_0, \tau_1]$ that satisfies

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} t + (\tau_0 - t_0) & \text{near } t_0 \\ t + (\tau_1 - t_1) & \text{near } t_1 \end{cases}$$

By CAT-invariance, we have that $g \circledcirc -$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $\lambda_* g \circledcirc -$ is. Thus we may assume $t_s < t_0 < t_1 < t_e$. The element $\lambda_* g$ lies in P as well. Thus there is a zigzag of morphisms in $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi]$ between b_s and b_0. Since $[\mathsf{C}]^\Psi$ is a groupoid, this implies there is a morphism from b_s to b_0. Take a representative k of this morphism in $\Psi(S^{n-1} \times [t_s, t_0] \operatorname{rel} b_s \sqcup b_0)$. Taking h a representative of $[\iota_P \circ (g \circ k)^{-1}]$ in $\Psi(S^{n-1} \times [t_1, t_e] \operatorname{rel} b_1 \sqcup b_e)$, we get that

$$(\iota_P \circledcirc -) \sim (h \circledcirc -) \circ (g \circledcirc -) \circ (k \circledcirc -)$$

Since all of the maps except $(g \circledcirc -)$ are known to be weak equivalences, so is $(g \circledcirc -)$. □

4.2. **Deformation lemma's.** The following lemma's will be useful in our proofs. They will be used to deform families to families that are locally constant in the parameter space.

Lemma 4.6. *If Ψ is microflexible, then for any family $f \colon \Delta^i \to \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ there exist*

- *an open neighborhood U of $S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ in $S^{n-1} \times (-1, 1)$,*
- *an open neighborhood V of $\partial\Delta^i$ in Δ^i,*
- *a homotopy $f_s \colon \Delta^i \times [0, 1] \to \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$,*
- *a real number $\eta \in (0, 1)$,*

such that

(i) the homotopy starts at f: $f_0 = f$,
(ii) the homotopy is rel $\partial\Delta^i$: *for all $d \in V$ and $s \in [0, 1]$ we have $f_s(d) = f_0(d)$,*
(iii) the endpoint of the homotopy is locally constant near the barycenter: *for all $d \in \Delta^i_\eta(\beta)$, we have $f_1(d)|_U = f_1(\beta)|_U$,*
(iv) the homotopy is compactly supported: *for all $d \in \Delta^i$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, with $W \coloneqq S^{n-1} \times ((-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty))$ we have $f_s(d)|_W = f_0(d)|_W$.*

Proof. Suppose we are given a family $f_0 \colon \Delta^i \to \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$. Pick a family of CAT-maps $\lambda \colon [0, 1] \to \mathrm{CAT}(\Delta^i, \Delta^i)$ satisfying:

(i) $\lambda(0) = \mathrm{id}$,
(ii) $\lambda(s)|_{\partial\Delta^i} = \mathrm{id}$,
(iii) for $s \geq 1/4$, $\lambda(s)$ collapses $\Delta^i_{s-1/4}(\beta)$ to the barycenter.

We can define a map

$$F\colon \Delta^i \times [0,1] \to \Psi\left(W \cup S^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$$

as follows. When restricted to W we set $F(d,s) \coloneqq f_0(d)$. When restricted to a small neighborhood of $S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ we set $F(d,s) \coloneqq f(\kappa_s(d))$. Note that on $(\Delta^i \times \{0\}) \cup (\partial\Delta^i \times [0,1]) \cup (\{\beta\} \times [0,1])$, $F(d,s) = f(d)$. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Delta^i \times \{0\}) \cup (\partial\Delta^i \times [0,1]) \cup (\{\beta\} \times [0,1]) & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^i \times [0,1] & \xrightarrow[\quad F \quad]{} & \Psi(W \cup S^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

Since the right map is a microfibration, there exists an open neighborhood U of $(\Delta^i \times \{0\}) \cup (\partial\Delta^i \times [0,1]) \cup (\{\beta\} \times [0,1])$ in $\Delta^i \times [0,1]$ and a partial lift $L\colon U \to \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$. Now pick a CAT-function $\eta\colon \Delta^i \times [0,1]$ that

(i') equals 1 near the barycenter β of Δ^i, and
(ii') has the property that $(d, t\eta(d)) \in U$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $d \in \Delta^i$.

Then the map $\Delta^i \times [0,1] \ni (d,t) \mapsto L(d, t\eta(d))$ is the desired homotopy. □

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose we are given a map $j\colon \Psi \to \Gamma$ of* CAT*-invariant topological sheaves and Γ is flexible. If $j\colon \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \to \Gamma(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ is a π_0-surjection, then for any family $f\colon \Delta^i \to \Gamma(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$, there exist*

- *an open neighborhood U of $S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ in $S^{n-1} \times (-1,1)$,*
- *an open neighborhood V of $\partial\Delta^i$ in Δ^i,*
- *a homotopy $f_s\colon \Delta^i \times [0,1] \to \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$,*
- *a real number $\eta \in (0,1)$,*
- *an element $g \in \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$,*

such that

(i) the homotopy starts at f: $f_0 = f$,
(ii) the homotopy is rel $\partial\Delta^i$: *for all $d \in V$ and $s \in [0,1]$ we have $f_s(d) = f_0(d)$,*
(iii) the endpoint of the homotopy is locally constant near the barycenter: *for all $d \in \Delta^i_\eta(\beta)$, we have $f_1(d)|_U = f_1(\beta)|_U$,*
(iv) the endpoint of the homotopy equal g near the barycenter: $f_1(\beta)|_U = j(g)|_U$,
(v) the homotopy is compactly supported: *for all $d \in \Delta^i$ and $s \in [0,1]$,with $V = S^{n-1} \times ((-\infty,-1) \cup (1,\infty))$ we have $f_s(d)|_V = f_0(d)|_V$.*

Proof. By the argument of Lemma 4.6, we may assume that $f_0(d)$ is independent of d on a neighborhood of the barycenter. Pick a CAT-function $\lambda\colon \Delta^i \to [0,1]$ that is supported in this neighborhood and equals 1 near the barycenter β of Δ^i. The hypothesis says that for any $s_0 \in \Gamma(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ we can find a path

$$s_t\colon [0,1] \to \Gamma(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$$

such that $s_1 = j(g)$ for some $g \in \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$.

We then define a map $S_t\colon [0,1] \to \Gamma(V \cup S^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ by setting S_t to be s_0 on V and s_t near $S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. This fits into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{0\} & \xrightarrow{s_0} & \Gamma(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ [0,1] & \xrightarrow[S]{} & \Gamma(V \cup S^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

and flexibility provides a dotted lift ℓ. Our homotopy is then given by

$$(d,s) \mapsto \begin{cases} f_0(d) & \text{if } \lambda(d) = 0 \\ \ell(\lambda(d) \cdot s) & \text{if } \lambda(d) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

□

4.3. **Resolving.** Let M be manifold with embedding $\alpha\colon S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow M$. Further suppose we have a closed subset $A \subset M$ disjoint from the image of α, and a boundary condition $a \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A \subset M)$.

Definition 4.8. The augmented semisimplicial space $\Psi(M \operatorname{rel} a, \alpha)_\bullet$ is defined as follows.

- The space of p-simplices is given by a disjoint union over an indexing set of $(p+1)$-tuples $(|t_0, b_0|, \dots, |t_p, b_p|)$ of real numbers t_i and boundary conditions $b_i \in \mathcal{B}(S^{n-1} \times \{t_i\})$ so that $t_0 < \dots < t_p$, of terms given by

$$\Psi\left(M \setminus S^{n-1} \times [t_0, t_p] \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_p \sqcup a\right) \times \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \Psi\left(S^{n-1} \times [t_i, t_{i+1}] \operatorname{rel} b_i \sqcup b_{i+1}\right)$$

- The (-1)-simplices are given by $\Psi(M \operatorname{rel} a)$.
- The ith face map forgets t_i and b_i, and uses the sheaf property to glue the elements of Ψ.
- The augmentation map ϵ forgets the real numbers and boundary conditions, and uses the sheaf property to glue the elements of Ψ.

Proposition 4.9. *Suppose that Ψ is a* CAT*-invariant microflexible sheaf. Then the augmentation ϵ induces a weak equivalence $\epsilon\colon ||\Psi(M \operatorname{rel} a, \alpha)_\bullet|| \to \Psi(M \operatorname{rel} a)$.*

Proof. Since all our manipulations will occur in the image of α, we may assume $A = \varnothing$ and consequently simplify the notation. We will prove that ϵ is a weak equivalence using Lemma 4.6. We do this by showing for all compact parametrizing manifolds P with boundary ∂P, there exists a dotted map in each commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial P & \xrightarrow{f} & ||\Psi(M, \alpha)_\bullet|| \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \epsilon \\ P & \xrightarrow[F]{} & \Psi(M) \end{array}$$

making the top triangle commute and the bottom triangle commute up to homotopy rel ∂P. Without loss of generality we may assume that a dotted map extending f exists over a collar U of ∂P in P.

For $x \in U \setminus \partial P$ the point $f(x) \in ||\Psi(M,\alpha)_\bullet||$ is given by a map $P \supset \mathbb{R}^n \to \Psi(M)$ together with a finite collection of slices $\{t_k\}$ with weights $\{s_k\}$ in $[0,1]$ summing to 1. By compactness of ∂P, we may assume that over U only a finite collection $\{t_\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$ of values $t_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ is used for the slices.

Pick a countable dense collection of points $\{p_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathrm{int}(P)$ and embedded simplices $\Delta^i(p_j) \subset \mathrm{int}(P)$ with barycenter mapping to p_j. Also pick a countable collection of pairwise disjoint intervals $\{[a_j - \eta_j, a_j + \eta_j]\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, disjoint from the finite set $\{t_\ell\}_{\ell\in L}$.

Now apply Lemma 4.6 to the family obtained from F by identifying $(\Delta^i(p_j), \partial\Delta^i(p_j))$ with $(\Delta^i, \partial\Delta^i)$, and identifying $S^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}$ with $S^{n-1}\times(a_j-\eta_j, a_j+\eta_j)$. The result is that on an open neighborhood of p_j we can deform F to be locally constant near $S^{n-1}\times\{a_j\}$, such that the deformation has support in $S^{n-1}\times(a_j-\eta_j, a_j+\eta_j)$ in the codomain.

Since P is compact, it is covered by U and a finite number of these neighborhoods. Call their indexing set J. Applying the deformations corresponding to neighborhoods with $j \in J$ simultaneously (which is possible since they have disjoint support in the codomain), we obtain a new family $\tilde{F}$ such that for each $p \in P$ there is at least one $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the restriction of $\tilde{F}_d$ to $S^{n-1}\times\{t\}$ is locally independent of d.

We then construct a lift of $\tilde{F}$ to $||\Psi(M,\alpha)_\bullet||$ as follows. Pick a partition of unity subordinate to the open cover given by U and those $\Delta^i(p_j)$ with $j \in J$. We denote the function supported in U by σ_U and the function with support in $\Delta^i_{\epsilon_j}(p_j)$ by σ_j. Then the slices and simplicial coordinates over $p \in P$ are given as follows. We use a_j with weight $\sigma_j(p)$, and if $p \in U$ we add $\{t_k(p)\}$ with weights $\{\sigma_v(p)s_k(p)\}$. □

We need a naturality statement for the map of the previous proposition. Before stating it, we make the following definition.

Definition 4.10. Let $j\colon \Psi \to \Gamma$ be a morphism of CAT-invariant topological sheaves. The augmented semisimplicial space $\Gamma(M \operatorname{rel} j(a), \alpha, j)_\bullet$ is defined as follows.

- The space of p-simplices is given by a disjoint union over an indexing set of $(p+1)$-tuples $(|t_0, b_0|, \ldots, |t_p, b_p|)$ of real numbers t_i and boundary conditions $b_i \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(S^{n-1}\times\{t_i\})$ so that $t_0 < \ldots < t_p$, of terms given by

$$\Gamma(M \setminus S^{n-1}\times[t_0,t_p] \operatorname{rel} j(b_0) \sqcup j(b_p) \sqcup j(a)) \times \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \Gamma(S^{n-1}\times[t_i,t_{i+1}] \operatorname{rel} j(b_i) \sqcup j(b_{i+1}))$$

- The (-1)-simplices are given by $\Gamma(M \operatorname{rel} j(a))$.
- The ith face map forgets t_i and $j(b_i)$, and uses the sheaf property to glue the elements of Γ.
- The augmentation map ϵ forgets the real numbers and boundary conditions, and uses the sheaf property to glue the elements of Γ.

Note that the condition that $j\colon \Psi(S^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}) \to \Gamma(S^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R})$ is a π_0-surjection in the next proposition, is implied by $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ being a weak equivalence by Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $j\colon \Psi \to \Gamma$ be a morphism of* CAT*-invariant topological sheaves. Suppose that Γ is flexible and $j\colon \Psi(S^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}) \to \Gamma(S^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R})$ be a π_0-surjection. Then the augmentation ϵ induces a weak equivalence $\epsilon\colon ||\Gamma(M \operatorname{rel} j(a), \alpha, j)_\bullet|| \to \Gamma(M \operatorname{rel} j(a))$.*

Proof. Use the same proof as for Proposition 4.9, but replace Lemma 4.6 by Lemma 4.7. □

It is easy to see that j induces a map $j_\bullet$ between augmented semisimplicial objects $\Psi(M \text{ rel } a, \alpha)_\bullet$ and $\Gamma(M \text{ rel } a, \alpha, j)_\bullet$. The reason for the different indexing set in Definition 4.10 is the second part of the following proposition.

Proposition 4.12. *The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ||\Psi(M \; rel\, a, \alpha)_\bullet|| & \xrightarrow{||j_\bullet||} & ||\Gamma(M \; rel\, j(a), \alpha, j)_\bullet|| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Psi(M \; rel\, a) & \xrightarrow[j]{} & \Gamma(M \; rel\, j(a)) \end{array}$$

and for all $k \geq 0$, the map j_k induces a bijection on the indexing sets of the disjoint union appearing in the definitions of the spaces of k-simplices.

4.4. **The proof.** In this subsection we prove Theorem 4.1. The proof is as follows:

(i) We define a functor $\mathsf{F}^\Psi(M)\colon \mathsf{C}^\Psi \to \mathsf{S}$.
(ii) We show that each morphism in C^Ψ induces on $\mathsf{F}^\Psi(M)$ a homology equivalence (if condition (H) is satisfied) or weak equivalence (if condition (W) is satisfied).
(iii) Using a delooping result of McDuff-Segal, we use this to prove that $j\colon \Psi(M \text{ rel } a) \to \Gamma(M \text{ rel } j(a))$ is a homology equivalence or weak equivalence when M is path-connected, $S^{n-1} \subset \partial M \cap A$ and $a|_{S^{n-1}}$ is fillable.
(iv) This is then used to prove that $j\colon \Psi(M \text{ rel } b) \to \Gamma(M \text{ rel } a)$ is a homology equivalence or weak equivalence without conditions on the boundary of M.

4.4.1. *A functor.* Let us fix a manifold M with $\partial M = S^{n-1}$.

Definition 4.13. We let $\mathsf{F}^\Psi(M)$ be the functor $\mathsf{C}^\Psi \to \mathsf{S}$ sending an object $|t, b|$ to the space $\Psi(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0,t]) \text{ rel } b)$ and a morphism $g \in \Psi(S^{n-1} \times [t,t'] \text{ rel } b \sqcup b')$ to the map

$$g \odot -\colon \Psi\left(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0,t]) \text{ rel } b\right) \to \Psi\left(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0,t']) \text{ rel } b'\right)$$

obtained by glueing on g using the sheaf property.

Proposition 4.14. *Let Ψ be a microflexible* CAT*-invariant topological sheaf on n-manifolds. For $0 < t_1 < t_2$ and an element*

$$g \in \mathsf{C}^\Psi(|t_1, b_1|, |t_2, b_2|) = \Psi\left(S^{n-1} \times [t_1, t_2] \; rel\, b_1 \sqcup b_2\right)$$

consider the map

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{F}^\Psi(M)(|t_1, b_1|) = \Psi\left(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0, t_1]) \; rel\, b_1\right) \\ {\scriptstyle g\odot -}\downarrow \\ \mathsf{F}^\Psi(M)(|t_2, b_2|) = \Psi\left(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0, t_2]) \; rel\, b_2\right) \end{array}$$

(i) If Ψ satisfies condition (H), the map $g \odot -$ is a semi-equivalence as in Definition 4.3.
(ii) If Ψ satisfies condition (W) and b_0 (or equivalently b_1 or b_2) is fillable, the map $g \odot -$ is a weak equivalence.

Proof. Any choice of embedding $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow [0, t_0]$ induces an embedding $\alpha\colon S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0, t_0])$. By Proposition 4.9 we have that $\epsilon\colon ||\Psi(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0, t_0]) \operatorname{rel} b, \alpha)|| \to \Psi(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0, t_0]) \operatorname{rel} b)$ is a weak equivalence. It is clear that $g \odot -$ induces a semisimplicial map

$$\Psi\left(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0, t_1]) \operatorname{rel} b_1, \alpha\right)_\bullet \to \Psi\left(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0, t_2]) \operatorname{rel} b_2, \alpha\right)_\bullet$$

which is a levelwise homology equivalence (resp. weak equivalence) under condition (H) (resp. (W)) by Proposition 4.5. Since a realization of a levelwise homology equivalence (resp. levelwise weak equivalence) of semisimplicial spaces is a homology equivalence (resp. weak equivalence), this completes the proof. □

4.4.2. *Intermezzo on delooping.* We now recall two well-known results by Segal and McDuff-Segal. Recall a commutative diagram in S

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & E' \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p'} \\ B & \underset{f}{\longrightarrow} & B' \end{array}$$

is homotopy cartesian (resp. homology cartesian) if $f\colon \pi_0(B) \to \pi_0(B')$ is surjective, and for all $b \in B$ the induced map $\operatorname{hofib}_b(p) \to \operatorname{hofib}_{f(b)}(p')$ is a weak equivalence (resp. homology equivalence).

Theorem 4.15 (McDuff-Segal)**.** *Let $f_\bullet\colon E_\bullet \to B_\bullet$ be a map of semisimplicial objects in S such that for all $0 \leq i \leq p$ the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_p & \overset{d_i}{\longrightarrow} & E_{p-1} \\ {\scriptstyle f_p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_{p-1}} \\ B_p & \underset{d_i}{\longrightarrow} & B_{p-1} \end{array}$$

is a homotopy cartesian (resp. homology cartesian), then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_0 & \longrightarrow & ||E_\bullet|| \\ {\scriptstyle f_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle ||f_\bullet||} \\ B_0 & \longrightarrow & ||B_\bullet|| \end{array}$$

is also homotopy cartesian (resp. homology cartesian).

Proof. The homotopy cartesian part is a consequence of the proof of Proposition 1.6 of [Seg74]. The statement of that proposition only differs from ours in its use of simplicial spaces and the thin geometric realization, which are replaced by semisimplicial spaces and the thick geometric realization in the first line of the proof. A further inspection of its proof implies that Proposition 3 of [MS76] implies the homology cartesian part. □

Our application concerns a *double-sided bar construction* for a (possibly non-unital) topological category C and two functors $F\colon \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{S}$, $G\colon \mathsf{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathsf{S}$. We will also assume our category C has a functor $t\colon \mathsf{C} \to (\mathbb{R}, <)$, where $(\mathbb{R}, <)$ is the non-unital poset category.

Definition 4.16. We set $B_\bullet(F, \mathsf{C}, G)$ denote the semisimplicial space with space of k-simplices given a disjoint union over $(k+1)$-tuples $(c_0, \dots, c_k)$ of objects of C satisfying $t(c_0) < \dots < t(c_k)$ of terms given by

$$F(c_0) \times \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathsf{C}(c_i, c_{i+1}) \right) \times G(c_k)$$

The face maps d_i are obtained by applying F for $i = 0$, composition in C for $0 < i < k$, and by applying G for $i = k$.

We let $B(F, \mathsf{C}, G)$ denote the geometric realization $||B_\bullet(F, \mathsf{C}, G)||$.

In this setting, the conditions in Theorem 4.15 amount to the following Lemma. To simplify notation, we note there are terminal functors $*\colon \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{S}$ and $*\colon \mathsf{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathsf{S}$ sending every object to the base point. We denote $B(*, \mathsf{C}, *)$ by $B\mathsf{C}$, as $B_\bullet(*, \mathsf{C}, *)$ coincides with the nerve of C.

Lemma 4.17. *Let C, F and G be above. Then the following hold:*

(a) If all morphisms $f\colon c \to c'$ induce homology equivalences $f \circ -\colon \mathsf{C}(c'', c) \to \mathsf{C}(c'', c')$ if $t(c'') < t(c)$, $- \circ f\colon \mathsf{C}(c', c'') \to \mathsf{C}(c, c'')$ if $t(c') < t(c'')$, $F(f)\colon F(c) \to F(c')$ and $G(f)\colon G(c') \to G(c)$, then

$$F(c) \times G(c) \to \mathrm{hofib}_c\left(B(F, \mathsf{C}, G) \to B\mathsf{C}\right)$$

is a homology equivalence.

(b) If all morphisms $f\colon c \to c'$ induce weak equivalences $f \circ -\colon \mathsf{C}(c'', c) \to \mathsf{C}(c'', c')$ if $t(c'') < t(c)$, $- \circ f\colon \mathsf{C}(c', c'') \to \mathsf{C}(c, c'')$ if $t(c') < t(c'')$, $F(f)\colon F(c) \to F(c')$ and $G(f)\colon G(c') \to G(c)$, then

$$F(c) \times G(c) \to \mathrm{hofib}_c\left(B(F, \mathsf{C}, G) \to B\mathsf{C}\right)$$

is a weak equivalence.

4.4.3. *The proof for spherical boundary.* Fix a manifold M with closed subset $A \subset M$ and a choice $S^{n-1} \subset \partial M \setminus A$. We will discuss when $\Psi(M \text{ rel } a \sqcup b) \to \Gamma(M \text{ rel } j(a \sqcup b))$ is a homology or weak equivalence for a boundary condition $a \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A)$ and a (fillable) boundary condition $b \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(S^{n-1})$. This is the only place where we use delooping, as described in the previous section. We will also need the following easy lemma.

Lemma 4.18. *Let Ψ be a* CAT*-invariant topological sheaf. Then we have that $\Psi(S^{n-1} \times [0, \infty)$ rel $b)$ is weakly contractible for all boundary conditions $b \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(S^{n-1} \times \{0\})$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for all compact parametrizing manifolds P with boundary ∂P, any map $f\colon \partial P \to \Psi(S^{n-1} \times [0, \infty) \mathrm{rel} b)$ can be extended to a map $P \to \Psi(S^{n-1} \times [0, \infty) \mathrm{rel} b)$. Since we working in $\mathsf{S} = \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$, there exists an open neighborhood U of $S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ in $S^{n-1} \times [0, \infty)$ and $g \in \Psi(U)$ such that for all $t \in \partial P$ we have that $(f_t)|_U = g$.

Now pick a CAT-isotopy ψ_s of embeddings $S^{n-1} \times [0, \infty) \to S^{n-1} \times [0, \infty)$ such that

(i) $\psi_0 = \mathrm{id}$,
(ii) ψ_1 has image in U,
(iii) there is a neighborhood V of $S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ so that $\psi_s|_V = \mathrm{id}$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

Extend g to a collar $\partial P \times [0,1]$ by $(t,s) \mapsto (f_t) \circ \psi_s$. On $\partial P \times \{1\}$, this is independent of $p \in \partial P$ and hence can be extended to P. □

Proposition 4.19. *Let $j\colon \Psi \to \Gamma$ be a map of* CAT*-invariant sheaves. Suppose that Ψ is microflexible, Γ is flexible and $j\colon \Psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a weak equivalence.*

Let M be a manifold, and $A \subset M$ a closed subset. Suppose that $S^{n-1} \subset \partial M \setminus A$, and $M \setminus A$ has no path components with compact closure. Fix boundary conditions $a \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(A)$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}_\Psi(S^{n-1})$, and consider the map

$$j\colon \Psi(M \text{ rel } a \sqcup b) \to \Gamma(M \text{ rel } j(a \sqcup b))$$

(i) If Ψ satisfies condition (H), this map is a homology equivalence.
(ii) If Ψ satisfies condition (W) and b is fillable, this map is a weak equivalence.

Proof. We claim that there are weak equivalences

$$B(\mathsf{F}^\Psi(M), \mathsf{C}^\Psi, *) \simeq \Psi(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0,\infty)))$$
$$B(\mathsf{C}^\Psi) \simeq \Psi(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$$

We give the proof in the first case, the second being similar. An embedding $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow [0,\infty)$ gives an embedding $\alpha\colon S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \to M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0,\infty))$. By Proposition 4.9 we have that $\epsilon\colon ||\Psi(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0,\infty)), \alpha)_\bullet|| \to \Psi(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0,\infty)))$ is a weak equivalence. Now note that there is a semisimplicial map $\Psi(M \cup_{S^{n-1}} (S^{n-1} \times [0,\infty)), \alpha)_\bullet \to B_\bullet(\mathsf{F}^\Psi(M), \mathsf{C}^\Psi, *)$ obtained by levelwise projecting away terms of the form $\Psi(S^{n-1} \times [t_p,\infty) \text{ rel } b_p)$. These are contractible by Lemma 4.18 and thus the realization of this semisimplicial map is a weak equivalence.

It is easy to see from the proof that this weak equivalence in natural in Ψ and hence it follows from Gromov's h-principle — here Theorem 4.2, which uses the assumption that $\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a weak equivalence — that in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(\mathsf{F}^\Psi(M), \mathsf{C}^\Psi, *) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathsf{F}^\Gamma(M), \mathsf{C}^\Gamma, *) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(\mathsf{C}^\Psi) & \longrightarrow & B(\mathsf{C}^\Gamma) \end{array}$$

the horizontal maps are weak equivalences. Hence their homotopy fibers are weak equivalent as well. By Lemma 4.17 and Propositions 4.5 and 4.14, the induced map on homotopy fibers over the object $(b,1)$ is homology equivalent (resp. weakly equivalent) to $j\colon \Psi(M \text{ rel } a \sqcup b) \to \Gamma(M \text{ rel } j(a \sqcup b))$. Thus this map is a homology equivalence (resp. weak equivalence). □

4.4.4. *General manifolds.* We now finish the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Without loss of generality M path-connected. Any closed subset A is an intersection of locally finite simplicial complexes. To see this, use a triangulation of M. If M is a 4-dimensional topological manifold, this may not exist and one needs to use that if there is a point $p \in M \setminus A$, then $M \setminus \{p\}$ can be triangulated. Using this we may assume A is a locally finite simplicial complex and hence that there exists a locally finite set S of points in M such that $M \setminus (S \cup A)$ has no compact components.

For $|S|$ finite the proof is by induction over $|S|$. In the initial case $|S| = 1$, we write $S = \{s\}$ and our goal is to prove that the map $j\colon \Psi(M \operatorname{rel} a) \to \Gamma(M \operatorname{rel} j(a))$ is a homology equivalence (resp. weak equivalence). There is an embedding $\mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow M \setminus A$ sending the origin to s. From this we obtain an embedding $\alpha\colon S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow M$. By Proposition 4.12 we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ||\Psi(M \operatorname{rel} a, \alpha)_\bullet|| & \xrightarrow{||j_\bullet||} & ||\Gamma(M \operatorname{rel} j(a), \alpha, j)_\bullet|| \\ \simeq\downarrow & & \downarrow\simeq \\ \Psi(M \operatorname{rel} a) & \xrightarrow[j]{} & \Gamma(M \operatorname{rel} j(a)) \end{array}$$

and the vertical maps are weak equivalences by Propositions 4.9 and 4.11, where in the latter case one uses the comments preceding its statement.

The map j_k induces a bijection on the indexing sets of the spaces of k-simplices. We then use Proposition 4.19 to see that j_k is a homology (resp. weak equivalence) on each term. For weak equivalence we additionally use that by construction all non-empty terms have fillable boundary conditions. Hence $j_\bullet$ is a levelwise homology equivalence (resp. weak equivalence) between semisimplicial spaces, and thus so is its realization j.

For the induction step, note that to deduce the case $|S \cup \{s\}| = |S| + 1$ from the case $|S|$, one can use the same argument as above after replacing Proposition 4.19 with the inductive hypothesis. Finally, to deduce the statement for locally finite S from that for finite S, one exhausts M by compact submanifolds M_i, so that $|S \cap M_i|$ is finite, and applies the sheaf property. □

5. Application I: Vassiliev's h-principle

In this section we discuss our first application, functions with moderate singularities.

Convention 5.1. In this section, CAT = Diff and thus all manifolds are smooth.

5.1. **Sheaves of functions with restricted jets.** Vassiliev's h-principle concerns functions from a smooth manifold to $\mathbb{R}^n$ that do not have certain singularities, in the sense that their jets avoid certain subspaces of the jet space. We give precise definitions following Chapter 1 of [EM02], or Section II.2 of [GG73]. Let Z be a smooth manifold and $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ have the weak C^∞-topology, i.e. a sequence converges if all derivatives converge on all compacts.

Given a smooth map $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to Z$, $D_0^I f$ denotes the mixed derivatives at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{|I|})$ with $1 \leq i_k \leq n$, and $D_0^\varnothing f$ is the value of the function at the origin

Definition 5.2. Let Z be a smooth manifold of dimension z. The *rth jet space* $J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ of smooth maps from $\mathbb{R}^n \to Z$ is given by the quotient space

$$J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z) \coloneqq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)/\!\sim_r$$

along the equivalence relation $\sim_r$ on where $f \sim_r g$ if $D_0^I f = D_0^I g$ for all I satisfying $0 \leq |I| \leq r$..

We denote the quotient map $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, Z) \to J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ by j^r. It is called the *r-jet map*.

Example 5.3. Fixing a basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^z)$ can be identified with all z-tuples of polynomials in n variables of degree $\leq r$. Under this identification, the r-jet map

$$j^r \colon C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^z) \to J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^z)$$

sends f to the following z-tuple of polynomials: the coefficient of x^I of the jth polynomial (where $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_{|I|})$ with $1 \leq i_k \leq n$, $0 \leq |I| \leq r$, and $1 \leq j \leq z$) is given by

$$D_0^I(f_j) \coloneqq \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_{|I|}}} f_j(0)$$

The topological group $\mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$ fixing the origin acts on the right on $J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ by composition. If $\bar{f} \in J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ is represented by f, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z) \times \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) &\to J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z) \\ (\bar{f}, \psi) &\mapsto \overline{f \circ \psi} \end{aligned}$$

This action factors over the quotient group

$$G^{(r)} \coloneqq \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)/\!\sim_r$$

along the equivalence relation $\sim_r$ of Definition 5.2 restricted to diffeomorphisms.

We can replace $\mathbb{R}^n$ by M. To do this, note that Definition 5.2 can be to smooth maps $M \to Z$ by replacing $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ by (M, m). Varying $m \in M$, we get a space $J^{(r)}(M, Z)$ with map to M. This is a fiber bundle with fiber over $m \in M$ given by $J^{(r)}(T_m M, Z)$, which is called the *rth jet bundle of maps from M to Z*.

A more explicit description of this bundle is as follows. There is a principal $G^{(r)}$-bundle $G^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, TM)$ with total space give by pairs (m, g) of point in M and r-jet of embedding $\mathbb{R}^n \to TM$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto $T_m M$ which preserves the origin. Then $G^{(r)}$ acts on the right by composition and we have

$$J^{(r)}(M, Z) \cong G^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, TM) \times_{G^{(r)}} J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$$

where to make $G^{(r)}$ act on the left on $J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ we act by the inverse. A subset $\mathcal{D}$ of $J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ is Diff-*invariant* if it is preserved by $G^{(r)}$, and then we can define $\mathcal{F}^f(M, \mathcal{D})$ and $\mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{D})$ as follows:

Definition 5.4. Suppose that $\mathcal{D} \subset J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ is Diff-invariant.

- We define $\mathcal{F}^f(M, \mathcal{D})$ to be
$$\mathcal{F}^f(M, \mathcal{D}) \coloneqq \Gamma(M, G_0^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, TM) \times_{G^{(r)}} (J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z) \setminus \mathcal{D}))$$
- We define $\mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{D})$ to be the space of smooth functions $M \to Z$ whose r-jets do *not* lie in $\mathcal{D}$:
$$\mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{D}) \coloneqq (j^r)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^f(M, \mathcal{D})) \subset C^\infty(M, Z)$$

The space $\mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{D})$ can be identified with the subspace of $\mathcal{F}^f(M, \mathcal{D})$ consisting of those sections that are *holonomic*, i.e. their 0th jet determines the higher jets by taking derivatives. The map $j \colon \mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{F}^f(M, \mathcal{D})$ is then identified with the inclusion of this subspace.

We leave it to the reader to see that for any Diff-invariant $\mathcal{D}$ the assignments $M \mapsto \mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{D})$ and $M \mapsto \mathcal{F}^f(M, \mathcal{D})$ are Diff-invariant topological sheaves.

5.2. **Vassiliev's h-principle.** In Theorem 9 of [Vas92], Vassiliev proved a homological h-principle for sheaves of the form $\mathcal{F}(-,\mathcal{D})$ under certain conditions on $\mathcal{D}$. His proof uses Alexander duality and interpolation theory for analytic functions to reduce the result to a finite-dimensional statement. The statement of the conditions on $\mathcal{D}$ uses the notion of a real semi-algebraic subset of a Euclidean space; this is by definition a finite union of subsets cut out by finitely many polynomial equalities and inequalities.

Theorem 5.5 (Vassiliev)**.** *If $Z = \mathbb{R}^z$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a closed real semi-algebraic set of codimension at least $n+2$, then $\mathcal{F}(-,\mathcal{D})$ satisfies a homological h-principle on closed manifolds. That is, the map*

$$j\colon \mathcal{F}(M,\mathcal{D}\ rel\, b) \to \mathcal{F}^f(M,\mathcal{D}\ rel\, j(b))$$

is a homology equivalence for all compact smooth manifolds M and boundary conditions $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial M)$.

If the codimension is at least $n+3$, j is in fact weak equivalence, because Vassiliev proved it is a homology equivalence between 1-connected spaces. Vassiliev asked whether j is also a weak equivalence if the codimension is $n+2$. We will see below that under mild conditions codimension $n+2$ indeed suffices. We will also see that j is still a homology equivalence even if one relaxes the conditions that $Z = \mathbb{R}^z$ and that $\mathcal{D}$ is real semi-algebraic. This will follow by checking the conditions for Theorem A.

The following criterion for microflexibility is well-known, as any open differential relation gives rise to a microflexible sheaf by Example 1.4.1.B of [Gro86].

Lemma 5.6. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is closed, then $\mathcal{F}(-,\mathcal{D})$ is microflexible.*

Proof. We need to check that for all pairs of $Q \subset R$ of compact submanifolds with corners in M, the restriction map

$$\mathcal{F}(R \subset M,\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{F}(Q \subset M,\mathcal{D})$$

is a microfibration. We first suppose that $Z = \mathbb{R}^p$. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^i & \xrightarrow{f_0} & \mathcal{F}(R \subset M,\mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^i \times [0,1] & \xrightarrow[F_s]{} & \mathcal{F}(Q \subset M,\mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

There exists an open neighborhoods V of R in M and U of Q in M, and representatives $f_0\colon \Delta^i \to \mathcal{F}(V,\mathcal{D})$ and $F_s\colon \Delta^i \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{F}(U,\mathcal{D})$. We may assume $U \subset V$. Now pick a smooth function $\eta\colon M \to [0,1]$ with support in U and equal to 1 near Q. Then we can write a family of smooth functions on V by

$$f_s := \Big(m \mapsto \eta(m)\tilde{F}_s(m) + (1-\eta(m))f_0(m)\Big)$$

Near Q this coincides with F_s and for $s=0$ this is equal to f_0. Since the complement of $\mathcal{D}$ is open, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $s < \epsilon$ the family f_s has r-jet avoiding $\mathcal{D}$. Restricting to $\Delta^i \times [0,\epsilon]$ gives the desired partial lift.

For general Z, we remark that in the previous argument addition can be replaced by any smooth function $\alpha\colon [0,1] \times W \to Z$ where W is a neighborhood of the diagonal in $Z \times Z$,

such that $\alpha_0 = \pi_1$, $\alpha_1 = \pi_2$ and on the diagonal Δ it is the projection onto Z. Such functions exist, e.g. by noting that after picking a Riemannian metric there is a neighborhood W such that if $(z, z') \in W$ there is a unique geodesic γ of length 1 from z to z' and defining $\alpha(t, z, z')$ to be $\gamma(t)$. □

For condition (H) we will use Thom's jet transversality theorem, see e.g. Theorem 2.3.2 of [EM02], Section II.4 of [GG73], or page 38 of [AGZV12].

Definition 5.7. Let Y be a smooth manifold.

- A subset S of Y is said to be *stratified* if it can be written as a finite union $\cup_{i=1}^n S_i$ of locally closed smooth submanifolds S_i, called *strata*, such that $\bar{S}_k = \cup_{k=i}^n S_i$.
- A map $f\colon X \to Y$ is said to be *transverse* to a stratified subset S of Y if it is transverse to each stratum.

Theorem 5.8 (Thom)**.** *Let $\mathcal{S} \subset J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ be a* Diff*-invariant stratified subset, then the set of $g \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(M, Z)$ with jets $j^r(g) \in \Gamma(M, J^{(r)}(M, Z))$ transverse to $\mathcal{S}$ is open and dense.*

Lemma 5.9. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is a closed stratified subset with strata of codimension at least $n+2$, then $\mathcal{F}(-, \mathcal{D})$ satisfies condition (H).*

Proof. This will follow from Thom's jet transversality Theorem 5.8, which we will use to prove the following stronger statement. Let $\mathrm{Map}(-, Z)$ denote the Diff-invariant sheaf of continuous functions to Z and $\mathsf{C}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{Map}(-,Z)}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathsf{C}^{\mathrm{Map}(-,Z)}$ on objects $|t, b|$ with b a boundary condition of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}(-, \mathcal{D})$, i.e. $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(S^{n-1} \times \{0\})$. The inclusion $h\colon \mathcal{F}(-, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{Map}(-, Z)$ induces a map

$$h\colon [\mathsf{C}^{\mathcal{F}(-,\mathcal{D})}] \to [\mathsf{C}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{Map}(-,Z)}]$$

and we will show this is an isomorphism. The lemma then follows by noting that $[\mathsf{C}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{Map}(-,Z)}]$ is a full subcategory of a groupoid, hence a groupoid.

First we prove that $\pi_0(\mathcal{F}(S^{n-1} \times [0,1], \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_1))$ contains an element in any given homotopy class, i.e. h is a π_0-surjection. Given any continuous function $f\colon S^{n-1} \times [0,1] \to Z$ satisfying b_0, b_1 near $S^{n-1} \times \{0,1\}$, we can smooth it rel boundary and apply Theorem 5.8 with $g = f$ and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{D}$. This implies that we can perturb f relative to the boundary to obtain a smooth function with r-jet transverse to $\mathcal{D}$. As we working over the manifold $S^{n-1} \times [0,1]$ of dimension n, which is strictly smaller than the codimension $n+2$ of $\mathcal{D}$, transverse intersection means empty intersection.

A similar argument says that any two homotopic functions can be connected by a path of smooth functions with r-jets avoiding $\mathcal{D}$, i.e. h is a π_0-injection. Take two functions $f_0, f_1 \in \mathcal{F}(S^{n-1} \times [0,1], \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_1)$ in the same homotopy class rel boundary. They can be connected by a path f_t of arbitrary smooth functions satisfying b_0 and b_1. This path can be considered as a smooth function

$$F\colon S^{n-1} \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \to Z$$

Now we apply Theorem 5.8 with $g = F$ and $\mathcal{S} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ with $\pi\colon J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, Z) \to J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ induced by composing with the inclusion $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by picking last coordinate 0 (there is no other choice, as the origin has to go to the origin). Suppose that $Z = \mathbb{R}^z$, which is the typical case by covering Z with charts. Under the identification with polynomials of Example

5.3, the map $\pi\colon J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1},\mathbb{R}^z) \to J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)$ is given by setting the variable x_{n+1} equal to 0. For this description it follows that F has r-jet avoiding $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ if and only if each $F(-,t)$ has r-jet avoiding $\mathcal{D}$. We claim that $\mathcal{S}$ has the same codimension as $\mathcal{D}$. As above, we may assume it is $\mathbb{R}^z$. Then $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ consists of all z-tuples of polynomials of degree $\leq r$ in $x_1,\dots,x_{n+1}$, such that setting $x_{n+1}=0$ results in a z-tuple of polynomials of degree $\leq r$ in $x_1,\dots,x_n$ which lie in $\mathcal{D}$. But setting $x_{n+1}=0$ is simply a projection in terms of the coefficients of monomials.

Thus we can perturb F to relative to b_0, b_1, f_0 and f_1, to have r-jet transverse to $\mathcal{D}$. Because the codimension of $\mathcal{D}$ was at least $n+2$ and we are working over the manifold $S^{n-1}\times[0,1]\times[0,1]$ of dimension $n+1<n+2$, the intersection is still empty. We can thus connect f_0 and f_1 by a path in $\mathcal{F}(S^{n-1}\times[0,1],\mathcal{D}\operatorname{rel} b_0\sqcup b_1)$. □

Condition (W) does not follow from transversality and we will need additional assumptions on $\mathcal{D}$. The assumptions use that post-composition gives an action of $\mathrm{Diff}(Z)$ on $J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,Z)$. If $Z=\mathbb{R}^z$, then for all compactly supported diffeomorphisms $\rho\colon[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ that are scaling near the origin, we have a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^z$ given by $x\mapsto \rho(||x||)\cdot x$. We say $\mathcal{D}$ is *radially invariant* if it invariant under the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(Z)$ consisting of these diffeomorphisms. The *standard linear map* $\Lambda\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^z$ is the map $(x_1,\dots,x_n)\mapsto(x_1,\dots,x_z)$ if $z\leq n$ and $(x_1,\dots,x_n)\mapsto(x_1,\dots,x_n,0,\dots,0)$ if $z>n$.

Lemma 5.10. *Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is closed stratified subset with strata of codimension at least $n+2$. Suppose that Z is path-connected and there exists a chart $e\colon\mathbb{R}^z\hookrightarrow Z$ such that*

(i) $\mathcal{D}|_{\mathbb{R}^z}$ is radially invariant and invariant under a transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^z)$ (e.g the translations), or
(ii) $\mathcal{D}|_{\mathbb{R}^z}$ is radially invariant and $z\leq n+1$.

Then for all fillable boundary conditions b we have that (i') the map $e\circ\Lambda$ has an r-jet which avoids $\mathcal{D}$ and (ii') b can be connected to $(e\circ\Lambda)|_{S^{n-1}}$.

Proof. We start assuming that $Z=\mathbb{R}^z$ and $e=\mathrm{id}$. We claim that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D})$ contains at least one linear function $\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^z$ of maximal rank.

Under hypothesis (ii), we claim that for each $a\in\mathbb{R}^z$ there must exact an $f\in\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D})$ such that $f(0)=a$ and $Df(0)$ is of maximal rank. This is because the r-jets that take value a and are of maximal rank are a Diff-invariant subset of codimension z (assumed to be $\leq n+1$) in $J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)$ and thus the set of these r-jets must have non-empty intersection with $J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)\setminus\mathcal{D}$.

Under hypothesis (i), since some subgroup of diffeomorphisms acts transitively, we only need to find such f for one $a\in\mathbb{R}^z$. This is always possible because the r-jets that are of maximal rank are codimension 0.

Let $f\in\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D})$ such that $f(0)=a$ and $Df(0)$ is of maximal rank. Then by the implicit function theorem there exists a local diffeomorphism $\phi\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f\circ\phi$ is inclusion or projection on the first z coordinates. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is Diff-invariant, this implies that the germ at a of Λ is not in $\mathcal{D}$, and since a was arbitrary that Λ lies in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D})$. This proves (i'). For (ii'), we note the proof of Lemma 5.6 and the fact that $\mathbb{R}^z$ is contractible imply that for any two b_0, b_1 the set $\pi_0(\mathcal{F}(S^{n-1}\times[1,2],\mathcal{D}\operatorname{rel} b_0\sqcup b_1))$ consists of a single element.

Next, we describe the argument when $Z \neq \mathbb{R}^z$ or $e \neq \mathrm{id}$. By the proof of Lemma 5.6 the path components of $[\mathsf{C}^\Psi(n, \mathcal{B})]$ are in bijection with homotopy classes of maps $S^{n-1} \to Z$ under composition. Only the trivial homotopy class is fillable, so we may assume that b has image in the chart e. The argument above implies that the standard linear map $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^z$ in that chart has r-jet avoiding $\mathcal{D}$, proving part (i'). For part (ii'), use that both e and $(e \circ \Lambda)|_{S^{n-1}}$ are in the trivial homotopy class. □

Lemma 5.11. *Suppose that one of the conditions of Lemma 5.10 is satisfied. Then $\mathcal{F}(-, \mathcal{D})$ satisfies condition (W).*

Proof. By Lemma 5.10 there exists a chart $e\colon \mathbb{R}^z \to Z$ such that $e \circ \Lambda$ has r-jet avoiding $\mathcal{D}$. The relevant element ι of $\mathcal{F}(S^{n-1} \times [1,2] \operatorname{rel} b_s \sqcup b_e)$ (note it determines b_s and b_e) is the restriction of Λ to $D^n_2 \setminus \operatorname{int}(D^n)$. We need to prove that

$$\iota \circledcirc -\colon \mathcal{F}(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, 1], \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_s) \to \mathcal{F}(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, 2], \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_e)$$
$$- \circledcirc \iota\colon \mathcal{F}(S^{n-1} \times [2, t_1], \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} b_e \sqcup b_1) \to \mathcal{F}(S^{n-1} \times [1, t_1], \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} b_s \sqcup b_1)$$

are weak equivalences if $t_0 < 1$ resp. $2 < t_1$. We give a proof in the first case, the second being similar. For the homotopy inverse we pick a family of compactly supported diffeomorphisms $\rho_t\colon [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying:

(i) $\rho_0 = \mathrm{id}$,
(ii) ρ_t is the identity on $[0, t_0]$, and
(iii) ρ_t is given by $s \mapsto s - t$ near 2.

Let ϕ_t denote the induced family of radial diffeomorphisms, extended to Z by the identity. The proposed homotopy inverse r to $\iota \circledcirc -$ maps f to the function $\phi_1 \circ f \circ \phi_1^{-1}$. A homotopy h_t from the identity map on $\mathcal{F}(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, 1], \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_s)$ to $r \circ (\iota \odot -)$ is given by

$$h_t(f)(x) = \begin{cases} (\phi_1 \circ \phi_t^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi_t \circ \phi_1^{-1})(x) & \text{if } \rho_t(\rho_1^{-1}(||x||)) \leq 1 \\ \Lambda(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and a homotopy h'_t from the identity on $\mathcal{F}(S^{n-1} \times [t_0, 2], \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} b_0 \sqcup b_e)$ to $(\iota \odot -) \circ r$ is given by

$$h'_t(f)(x) = \begin{cases} (\phi_{1-t} \circ f \circ \phi_{1-t}^{-1}) & \text{if } ||x|| \leq 2 - t \\ \Lambda(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the conditions on $\mathcal{D}$ in Lemma 5.10 guarantee that these homotopies have r-jets avoiding $\mathcal{D}$. □

Theorem A and the previous lemma's imply a generalization of Vassiliev's h-principle, here stated in a bit more generality than in Corollary C. Since a real semi-algebraic subset is a stratified subset [Loj64], this Corollary implies Theorem 5.5.

Corollary 5.12. *Let Z be a smooth manifold and if $\mathcal{D} \subset J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n, Z)$ be a closed stratified subset with strata of codimension at least $n + 2$. Then $\mathcal{F}(-, \mathcal{D})$ satisfies a homological h-principle on closed manifolds:*

$$j\colon \mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} b) \to \mathcal{F}^f(M, \mathcal{D} \operatorname{rel} j(b))$$

is a homology equivalence for all n-dimensional manifolds M and boundary conditions $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial M)$.

Suppose additionally that $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathrm{Diff}(Z)$*-invariant (or satisfies one of the two other conditions in Lemma 5.10), then this map is in fact a weak equivalence.*

Proof. The first condition in Lemma 5.10 is satisfied when $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathrm{Diff}(Z)$-invariant, and by treating each path component of Z separately, we may assume Z is path-connected. We have already checked the conditions on $\mathcal{F}(-,\mathcal{D})$ for Theorem 4.1:

- microflexibility: this was checked in Lemma 5.6.
- condition (H): this was checked in Lemma 5.9.
- condition (W): under the hypothesis on $\mathcal{D}$, this was checked in Lemma 5.11.

Since $\mathcal{F}^f(-,\mathcal{D})$ is a sheaf of sections, it is flexible. Hence it suffices to check that the map $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}) \to J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,Z) \setminus \mathcal{D}$ which assigns a function its germ at the origin, is a weak equivalence. This is Lemma 5.13. □

Lemma 5.13. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is a closed* Diff*-invariant subset of $J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,Z)$, then the map which assigns to a function its germ at the origin*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}) \to J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,Z) \setminus \mathcal{D}$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. There are evaluation maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\ j\ } & J^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,Z) \setminus \mathcal{D} \\ & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}^f} & \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

These maps are Serre fibrations, using the isotopy extension theorem and Diff-invariance of $\mathcal{D}$. It thus suffices the map on fibers is a weak equivalence. That is, we must prove that for all $i \geq 0$ we can find a dotted lift in each commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^i & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{ev}^{-1}(a) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta^i & \xrightarrow[F]{} & (\mathrm{ev}^f)^{-1}(a) \end{array}$$

making the top triangle commute and the bottom triangle commute up to homotopy rel $\partial\Delta^i$.

We pick a chart $\mathbb{R}^z$ in Z so that the origin goes to a and show how to reduce to $\mathbb{R}^z = Z$. By zooming in on the origin, we can simultaneously homotope f and F so that they have image in bR^z. Applying this homotopy changes the diagram by a homotopy of diagrams, so it suffices to prove that for all $i \geq 0$ we can find a dotted lift in each commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^i & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}') \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta^i & \xrightarrow[F]{} & J_0^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z) \setminus \mathcal{D}' \end{array}$$

making the top triangle commute and the bottom triangle commute up to homotopy rel $\partial\Delta^i$. Here $\mathcal{D}'$ is given by $J_0^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)\cap\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}')$ denotes the space of functions $\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^z$ preserving the origin and with r-jet avoiding $\mathcal{D}$. Finding such a lift is possible if and only if

$$j^r\colon \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}')\to J_0^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)\setminus\mathcal{D}'$$

is a weak equivalence.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)\setminus\mathcal{D}'$ be the space of z-tuples of polynomials $\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ of degree $\leq r$, which at the origin take value 0 and have r-jet avoiding $\mathcal{D}'$. By Example 5.3 we have $\mathcal{P}_{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)\setminus\mathcal{D}'\cong J_0^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)\setminus\mathcal{D}'$.

To prove that $j^r\colon\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}')\to\mathcal{P}_{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)\setminus\mathcal{D}'$ is a weak equivalence, we use Taylor's theorem. Taylor's theorem tells us that any smooth function $f\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ can be written as $f(x)=p_r(f)(x)+\sum_{|\alpha|=r+1}x^\alpha h_\alpha(x)$, where $p_r(f)$ is a polynomial of degree r and $h_\alpha(x)$ is a function $\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{x\to 0}h_\alpha(x)=0$. Similarly, if f were a function $\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^z$ with $z>1$, we can apply Taylor approximation to each component separately. We use the same notation.

If $g\in\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}')$, we have that for all $s\in[0,1]$

$$s\mapsto P_s(g)(x)\coloneqq p_r(g)(x)+(1-s)\sum_{|\alpha|=r+1}x^\alpha h_\alpha(x)$$

has r-jet at the origin avoiding $\mathcal{D}'$. This follows from the fact that the r-jet of g_s at the origin is independent of s and the statement is true for $s=0$. Each function $P_s(g)$ does not necessarily lie in $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}')$, but since $\mathcal{D}$ is closed there exists some $\epsilon>0$ such that $P_s(g)$ restricted to $D^n_\epsilon(0)$ does.

Now suppose we are given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\partial\Delta^i & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}')\\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j^r}\\ \Delta^i & \xrightarrow[F]{} & \mathcal{P}_{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^z)\setminus\mathcal{D}'\end{array}$$

then it suffices to produce a dotted lift L making the top triangle commute and the bottom triangle commute up to homotopy rel $\partial\Delta^i$. Since Taylor approximation is continuous in the function, there is a continuous function $\epsilon\colon\partial\Delta^i\to(0,1)$ such that $P_s(f(d))$ restricted to $D^n_{\epsilon(d)}(0)$ lies in $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{D}')$.

Pick a family of embeddings $\eta\colon\partial\Delta^i\times[0,1]\to\mathrm{Emb}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that (i) each $\eta(d,s)$ is the identity near the origin, (ii) η restricted to $\Delta^i\times\{0\}$ is the identity, and (iii) $\eta(d,1)$ has image in $D^n_{\epsilon(d)}(0)$.

We write $\Delta^i\cong\Delta^i\cup_{\partial\Delta^i\times\{2\}}\partial\Delta^i\times[0,2]$ and define L as:

$$L(d)\coloneqq\begin{cases}f(d')\circ\eta(d',s) & \text{if } d=(d',s)\in\partial\Delta^i\times[0,1]\\ P_{s-1}(f(d')\circ\eta(d',1)) & \text{if } d=(d',s)\in\partial\Delta^i\times[1,2]\\ F(d)\circ\eta(d,1) & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

□

Example 5.14. Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{MW}$ be the subset of $J^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of f such that $f(0) = 0$, $Df'(0) = 0$ and the Hessian $D^2 f(0)$ is degenerate. This is Diff-invariant and has codimension $n+2$, and so Vassiliev's homological h-principle applies to $\mathcal{F}(-, \mathcal{D}_{MW})$. This was a crucial ingredient in the original proof of the Madsen-Weiss theorem, see Section 4 of [MW07]. It is not used in later proofs, e.g. [GTMW09].

Now remark that $\mathcal{D}_{MW}$ is also radially invariant, so satisfies condition (ii) of Lemma 5.10. Thus Corollary 5.12 says $\mathcal{F}(-, \mathcal{D}_{MW})$ in fact satisfies a homotopical h-principle.

5.3. **Maps to the line.** As an example we discuss the smallest set of singularities sufficient to apply Corollary C to maps to $\mathbb{R}$. That is, we explain which singularities of maps $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ one needs to include to get $\mathcal{D}$ to be sufficient codimension. We say that f is *of the form g near p* if there exist charts around p and $f(p)$ such that f looks like g in the coordinates from these charts.

Definition 5.15. Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n and $f\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth map.

- A point $p \in M$ is a *critical point* of f if $df(p) = 0$.
- A critical point p of f is said to be a *Morse singularity of index k* if near p the function f is of the form:
$$f(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} x_j^2$$
- A critical point p of f is said to be a *birth-death singularity of index $k + \frac{1}{2}$* if near p the function f is of the form.
$$f(x) = x_1^3 - \sum_{i=2}^{k} x_i^2 + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} x_j^2$$

See Figure 1 for examples.

Definition 5.16. Let $\mathcal{G}(M)$ be the space of smooth functions $f\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ that only have Morse or birth-death singularities. This is called the space of *generalized Morse functions*.

The following h-principle was shown in a range by Igusa by singularity theory [Igu84a], on homology by Vassiliev using Alexander duality and interpolation techniques [Vas92] and in general by Eliashberg and Mishachev using wrinkling [EM00].

Corollary 5.17 (Eliashberg-Mishachev)**.** *We have that*
$$j\colon \mathcal{G}(M \text{ rel } b) \to \mathcal{G}^f(M \text{ rel } j(b))$$
is a weak equivalence for all M and boundary conditions $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{G}}(\partial M)$.

Proof. To apply Corollary C, one remarks that the set $\mathcal{D} \subset J^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ of Morse or birth-death germs is $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R})$-invariant and hence it suffices to prove it is of sufficient codimension. This follows from the well-known results of Morse and Cerf that generic functions have only Morse singularities and generic 1-parameter families of functions have only Morse and birth-death singularities.

In general, one may use the Boardman codimension formula as in Section 2.4-2.6 of [AGZV12]. This says that singular subset Σ in M of a generic smooth map $f\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^z$ can be stratified by strata Σ^I. These are defined recursively. The top stratum $\Sigma^i(f)$ is the subset where the rank drops by i. Similarly the other strata are inductively defined by setting $\Sigma^{i_1,\dots,i_k,i}$ to be the subset of $\Sigma^{i_1,\dots,i_k}$ where the derivative of $f\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^z$ restricted to $\Sigma^{i_1,\dots,i_k}$ drops by i. A necessary condition is thus that $m \geq i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \ldots \geq i_k \geq 0$.

The Boardman codimension formula says that the codimension of Σ^I is given by a number $\nu_I(m,1)$:

$$\nu_I(m,1) = (1-m+i_1)\mu(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k) - (i_1-i_2)\mu(i_2,i_3,\dots,i_k) - \ldots - (i_k - i_{k-1})\mu(i_k)$$

where $\mu(i_1,\dots,i_l)$ is the number of sequences of integers $j_1,\dots,j_k$ such that $m \geq j_1 \geq \ldots \geq j_k \geq 0$, $i_r \geq j_r$ and $j_1 > 0$. If the value of this expression is negative, no such singularities occur, and if the value of this expression is 0, it is a non-singular point. We are interested when $\nu_I(m,1) \leq m+1$, as those strata occur in 1-parameter families. Let's start with the case $k=1$. In that case $\nu_{i_1}(m,1) = (1-m+i_1)i_1$, so this is positive if $i_1 \geq m$ and then $\leq m+1$ only if $i_1 = m$. Since $\Sigma^I \subset \Sigma^{i_1}$, we conclude that for I to occur it must start with m. In the case ν_{m,i_2} we get a value $\leq m+1$ only if $i_2 = 1$ and in that case in fact $\nu_{m,1}$ equals $2m-(m-1) = m+1$. This makes clear that no higher strata can occur, as the codimension of Σ^I must increase. Finally one checks that Σ^m and $\Sigma^{m,1}$ indeed correspond to Morse and birth-death singularities respectively. □

The homotopy type of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is known. The argument below was given in Section 3 of [Igu84b]. We recall it for the convenience of the reader and will use it in Section 6.

Lemma 5.18 (Igusa)**.** *We have that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is weakly equivalent to the join $S^{n-1} * P$, where P is the homotopy push out of the following diagram:*



Sketch of proof. By the proof of Corollary C, we have that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is weakly equivalent to the space of 3-jets at the origin of functions $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with only Morse or birth-death singularities at the origin. We can identify this with the space $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of polynomials of degree ≤ 3 in n variables satisfying the same condition.

We will next prove that $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^{n-1} * \mathcal{E}_3(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathcal{E}_3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of polynomials with value and first derivative at the origin given by 0. This follows since $\mathcal{E}_3(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong \mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathcal{P}_3 - n - 1} \setminus C$ for a closed subset C, while $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathcal{P}_3 - 1} \setminus C)$ (see Lemma 3.1 of [Igu84b] for more details).

We end by proving that $\mathcal{E}_3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy push out P. Let $\bar{A}_k$ be the subspace of polynomials with Morse singularities of index k or birth-death singularities of index $k \pm \frac{1}{2}$ at the origin. Let $B_{k+1/2}$ be the subspace of polynomials with a

birth-death singularity of index $k+\frac{1}{2}$ at the origin. Then $\mathcal{E}_3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a push out:



The maps are cofibrations, so this is also a homotopy push out. Hence it remains to identify B_k and $\bar{A}_k$, and the maps between these.

Let $D = D_0^2 f$ be the Hessian of f at the origin. Sending $f \in B_{k+1/2}$ to the splitting $(D_+, D_-, \ker(D))$ of $T_0\mathbb{R}^n$ in positive eigenspace, negative eigenspace and kernel, and recording the framing of $\ker(D)$ gives a map $B_{k+1/2} \to O(n)/(O(k)\times O(n-1-k))$. This is a fibration with convex fibers, so a weak equivalence. Similarly, there is a map sending $f \in \bar{A}_k$ to $O(n)/(O(k)\times O(n-k))$. If $f \in A_k$, it sends it to (D_+, D_-) and if $f \in B_{k\pm1/2}$ we use the previous maps composed with the inclusion into $O(n)/(O(k)\times O(n-k))$. This is again a fibration with convex fibers. It also identifies the maps $B_{k\pm1/2} \to \bar{A}_k$ with the standard inclusions of Grassmannians. □

Example 5.19. Our h-principle implies that $\mathcal{G}(S^1) \simeq LS^2$. Since the tangent bundle of S^1 is trivial, to prove this we only need to identify $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 5.18 it is $S^0 * P$, and $P \simeq S^1$, being the homotopy push out of



where $*\sqcup *$ corresponds to birth-death singularities with opposite positive direction of $D^3 f$ on the kernel K_p of $D^2 f$. The two $*$'s correspond to a local minimum and maximum respectively. So the answer is $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq S^2$. A generator of $\pi_2(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}))$ is given as follows. Write $S^2 = S^0 * S^1$ with coordinates (ϵ, t, θ) with $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $t \in [0,1]$ and $\theta \in S^1$ under the equivalence relation $(1,0,\theta) \sim (-1,0,\theta)$. Then the following is a generator of π_2, see Figure 1:

$$v(\epsilon, t, \theta) := \epsilon t x + \sin(\theta)x^2 + \cos(\theta)x^3$$

5.4. **Maps to the plane.** One application of the study of generalized Morse functions is pseudoisotopy theory [Igu88]. To study higher-dimensional versions of pseudoisotopy theory, one needs h-principles for maps to $\mathbb{R}^k$. To find out which singularities one needs to allow, one uses the codimension formula's from [AGZV12] as in Corollary 5.17.

The case of maps to the plane was considered in [RW12, RW14], and there Reis and Weiss checked which singularities to include to get sufficient codimension. For precise definitions we will refer the reader to their work, but the conclusion is that a generic smooth map $M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ only has *fold* singularities, and a generic 1-parameter family of smooth maps only has *fold, cusp, lips, beak-to-beak* and *swallowtail* singularities. These singularities are invariant under diffeomorphisms of M and $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\mathcal{G}_2(M)$ denotes the functions $M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ that only have



FIGURE 1. A family of generalized Morse functions indexed by the circle. The functions at i and $-i$ are Morse singularities of index 0 and 1 respectively, while the functions at 1 and -1 are birth-death singularities.

such singularities. The jet map $j\colon \mathcal{G}_2(M \operatorname{rel} b) \to \mathcal{G}_2^f(M \operatorname{rel} j(b))$ was shown to be a homology equivalence in [RW14], but we prove it is actually a weak equivalence:

Corollary 5.20. *We have that*

$$j\colon \mathcal{G}_2(M \operatorname{rel} b) \to \mathcal{G}_2^f(M \operatorname{rel} j(b))$$

is a weak equivalence for all n-dimensional manifolds M and boundary conditions $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{G}_2}(\partial M)$.

6. Application II: framed functions

Our next application is the contractibility of the space of framed functions.

Convention 6.1. In this section CAT = Diff, so all manifolds are smooth.

6.1. **Motivation and definition.** While Corollary 5.17 is useful, it is not optimal for most applications because the homotopy type of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is non-trivial. Thus it may not be easy to prove that families exist or extend, even with an h-principle. Framed functions are designed to fix this, adding data to generalized Morse functions to get spaces $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}(M)$ which not only satisfy an h-principle but also have the property that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is contractible.

The problem exhibited by the generator of $\pi_2(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}))$ in Example 5.19, is that while the birth-death singularities have a preferred direction at the origin, $u_i(x)$, the local minimum and maximum do not. Indeed, recall that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq S^0 * P$, with the latter a join of S^0 – coming from the two possible signs of non-zero derivative at the origin – with the homotopy push out P:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * \sqcup * & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & P \simeq S^1 \end{array}$$

where $* \sqcup *$ corresponds birth-death singularities with opposite directions and the two $*$'s correspond to the local minimum and maximum. If we had decorations singling out a preferred direction at the local maximum, P would be replaced by the contractible pushout P' of $* \sqcup *$ mapping into $*$ and $* \sqcup *$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * \sqcup * & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * \sqcup * & \longrightarrow & P' \simeq * \end{array}$$

Thus in general the problem is that the space of Morse functions has behavior that is more like Grassmannians than Stiefel manifolds, which Igusa fixed by modifying the definitions to include a framing of the negative eigenspaces of the Hessian, defined as follows. Pick a Riemannian metric g on M. If f has a Morse singularity at p, then we can consider the Hessian $D^2 f$ as a linear map $T_pM \to T_pM$. This linear map is invertible if the Hessian is non-degenerate and has real eigenvalues since the Hessian was symmetric. By definition, the negative eigenspace is the subspace of T_pM spanned by the eigenvectors corresponding to negative eigenvalues.

Next, suppose f has a birth-death singularity at p. Then $D^2 f$ as a linear map $T_pM \to T_pM$ has a one-dimensional kernel K_p. On the orthogonal complement of this kernel it is invertible and has real eigenvalues. On the kernel K_p, the third derivative gives a well-defined homogeneous map $\kappa \colon K_p \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree 3.

Definition 6.2. Suppose M has a Riemannian metric g and a generalized Morse function $f \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$.

- A *framing at a Morse singularity of index* k of f is a choice of k orthonormal basis vectors for the negative eigenspace.
- A *framing at a birth-death singularity of index* $k + \frac{1}{2}$ of f is a choice of $k+1$ orthonormal vectors, such that the first k are a basis for the negative eigenspace and the last vector is the unique unit vector in the kernel K with κ having positive value on it.

Recall that $\mathcal{G}(M)$ denotes the space of generalized Morse functions on M. For an $f \in \mathcal{G}(M)$, the subsets $\Sigma^m_k(f)$, $\Sigma^{m,1}_{k+1/2}(f)$ of M denote respectively the sets of index k Morse singularities and index $k + \frac{1}{2}$ birth-death singularities of f.

Definition 6.3. We can define the *space* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}(M)$ *of framed of functions on* M as an object of $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$. On a parametrizing manifold P, it is given by maps $P \to \mathcal{G}(M) \times \mathrm{Riem}(M)$ with a framing as in Definition 6.2 for each critical point. These framings should be continuous in the sense that the basis vectors ζ_j of the framings are continuous when considered as sections of TM over the singularity sets $\Sigma^m_i \subset P \times M$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $\Sigma^{m,1}_{i+1/2} \subset P \times M$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$.

6.2. **The h-principle.** The following was proven by Igusa in a range using singularity theory [Igu87], by Lurie using obstruction-theoretic techniques [Lur09], by Eliashberg and Mishachev using wrinkling [EM12], and by Galatius in unpublished work using techniques similar to ours (in fact, his proof inspired this paper). Its main applications are the construction of higher Reidemeister torsion [Igu02] and the cobordism hypothesis [Lur09].

Corollary 6.4 (Lurie, Eliashberg-Mishachev, Galatius)**.** *For all manifolds M and boundary conditions $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}}(\partial M)$, the space of framed functions $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}(M \operatorname{rel} b)$ is contractible.*

This follows directly from Theorem A and the following lemma's. The first shows that space of framed functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is contractible — Theorem 2.4 of [Igu87] — and the second says our h-principle applies.

Lemma 6.5 (Igusa)**.** *$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is contractible.*

Proof. The space of Riemannian metrics is convex, hence contractible, and thus we disregard it. Carrying through the argument of Lemma 5.18, we have that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is weakly equivalent to the join $S^{n-1} * P'$, where P' is the homotopy pushout of the following diagram:



This homotopy pushout can be computed inductively by iterated homotopy pushouts, starting at the right. Let P'_i be the homotopy pushout of



If $i = n$ we simply get $O(n)/O(n) \simeq *$, and P'_i is obtained as the homotopy pushout of the diagram



and thus $P'_i \simeq P'_{i+1} \simeq *$. □

Lemma 6.6. *We have that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}(-)$ is microflexible and satisfies condition (W).*

Proof. Checking microflexibility is done as in Lemma 5.6 and carrying along the framings. To check condition (H) holds, we could use Theorem 1.6 of [Igu87], which says that the space $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{fr}}(M, b)$ is $(n-1)$-connected. However, an elementary argument as in Lemma 1.5 of [Igu87] suffices.

Indeed, for condition (H), Lemma 5.9 says generalized Morse functions underlying a 1-parameter framed functions can be connected by a one-parameter family of generalized Morse functions. We can assume that there is at most one birth-death singularity in $\operatorname{int}(M)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and will do an induction on the number of birth-death singularities.



FIGURE 2. The simplest situation where the orientation of framing is changed by introducing a pair of birth-death singularities.

Starting at $t = 0$, we can extend the framing until we reach the first birth-death singularity. If it is a birth, i.e. two Morse singularities appear when first there were none, one can pick a framing arbitrarily at the birth-death singularity and extend using a local model.

If it is a death, i.e. two Morse singularities disappear, one has to be careful, because the framings might not match up. In that case we need to line up an orthonormal k-tuple and the orthonormal $(k+1)$-tuple so that (i) the k-tuple coincides with the first k vectors of $(k+1)$-tuple and (ii) the first basis vector of the latter goes to the positive direction of the kernel of the Hessian in birth-death singularity. Pick any path of $(k+1)$-tuples to satisfy the second condition. We want find a path of k-tuples to the remaining k vectors in the $(k+1)$-tuple. Since the space of k-tuples with fixed orientation is path-connected, we can do so if the two orthonormal k-tuples have the same orientation. Hence it suffices to show how reverse the direction of one of these k vectors. Figure 2 shows how to do this in the 1-dimensional case by introducing two additional Morse singularities for a short time period. In the higher-dimensional case, one simply takes the product of this picture with the appropriate quadratic form.

Finally, for the additional requirements of condition (W) one takes ι to be $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the projection to the first coordinate. □

7. Application III: foliations

Our next goal is to study certain spaces of foliations and reprove several famous results of Mather and Thurston, full proofs of which remain relatively hard to find in the literature.

We start by recalling basic definitions of foliation theory. A *codimension k* CAT-*foliated atlas* for a manifold N consists of an open cover N by U_i with charts $\phi_i\colon \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \to U_i \subset N$, so that the transition functions $\phi_j^{-1}\phi_i\colon \phi_i^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \to \phi_j^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$ are CAT-isomorphisms of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (f(x), g(x, y))$.

Definition 7.1. A *codimension k* CAT-*foliation $\mathcal{F}$* on an n-dimensional manifold N is a maximal codimension k foliated atlas.

The subsets of the form $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ in a chart are called *plaques* and they glue together to immersed $(n-k)$-dimensional manifolds called *leaves*. One can give equivalent definitions of foliations in terms of leaves, and in the smooth case in terms of integrable distributions.

If $p\colon N \times B \to B$ is the projection, then a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $N \times B$ is said to be *transverse to* p if the leaves are transverse to the fibers $p^{-1}(b)$. We will be interested in the situation where the foliation is of codimension n, i.e. the leaves have the same dimension as B. In that case transversality is equivalent the existence of charts of the following form: near each $e \in E$ we have a chart $\phi_B\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to B$ near $p(e)$ and foliated chart $\phi\colon \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \to E$ near e so that $\pi \circ \phi = \phi_B \circ \pi_1$.

Definition 7.2. If M is an n-dimensional CAT-manifold, we let $\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M)$ denote the element of $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ which assigns to a parametrizing manifold P the set of CAT-foliations of codimension n on $P \times M$ that are transverse to the projection to P. We call it the *space of* CAT*-foliations on* M.

The space $\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M)$ has an interpretation related to classifying spaces of groups of CAT-isomorphisms. To state it, let $\mathrm{CAT}^\delta(M)$ denote the discrete group underlying the group of CAT-isomorphisms $\mathrm{CAT}(M)$ (e.g. considered as an object of S). The inclusion $\mathrm{CAT}^\delta(M) \to \mathrm{CAT}(M)$ induces a map on classifying spaces $B\mathrm{CAT}^\delta(M) \to B\mathrm{CAT}(M)$.

Lemma 7.3. *There is a fiber sequence*

$$\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M) \to B\mathrm{CAT}^\delta(M) \to B\mathrm{CAT}(M)$$

Proof. We have that $B\mathrm{CAT}(M)$ classifies bundles with fiber M and structure group $\mathrm{CAT}(M)$, while $B\mathrm{CAT}^\delta(M)$ classifies such bundles with a codimension n foliation transverse to the projection. Thus the homotopy fiber classifies trivial bundles with fiber M but a non-trivial codimension n foliation transverse to the projection. □

The space $\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M)$ is easy to study in the framework of this paper, as it has only one 0-simplex. In particular $\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M, a)$ is path-connected for all $a \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}}(A \subset M)$ and thus condition (H) is automatically satisfied by Example 3.7. Thus if we want to prove a homological h-principle, it suffices to prove that $\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(-)$ is microflexible. This is well-known, e.g. Section 4 of [Sie71], and is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose M is an n-dimensional manifold and $K \subset M$ compact. Let $U \subset M$ be an open neighborhood of K. Then for any map $h\colon \Delta^i \to \mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M)$ (represented by a foliation $\mathcal{H}_0$ of $V \times M$ with V a neighborhood of Δ^i in $\mathbb{R}^i$), and any extension $H\colon \Delta^i \times [0,1] \to \mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(U)$ of its restriction to U (represented by a foliation $\mathcal{H}$ on $W \times [0,1] \times U$ with W a neighborhood of Δ^i in $\mathbb{R}^i$), there exist*

- *a neighborhood $W' \subset W$ of Δ^i in $\mathbb{R}^i$,*
- *a neighborhood $U' \subset U$ of K,*
- *a real number $\epsilon > 0$, and*
- *a map $G\colon W' \times [0,\epsilon] \to \mathrm{Emb}^{\mathrm{CAT}}(U', M)$,*

such that $G|_{W' \times \{0\}}$ is the identity and the adjoint $\tilde{G}\colon W' \times [0,\epsilon] \times U' \hookrightarrow W' \times [0,\epsilon] \times M$ has the property that $\tilde{G}^*\mathcal{H} = \pi^*(\mathcal{H}_0|_{W' \times U'})$ with $\pi\colon W' \times [0,\epsilon] \times U' \to W' \times U'$ the projection.

Proof. The map G will be obtained by parallel transport along leaves. For every $x \in \Delta^i \times \{0\} \times U$, there exists a real number η_x so that $\mathrm{int}(D^i_{\eta_x}(x)) \subset V \cap W$, and a foliated chart $\phi_x\colon \mathrm{int}(D^i_{\eta_x}(x)) \times [0,\epsilon_x) \times \mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow W \times [0,1] \times U$ over $\mathrm{int}(D^i_{\eta_x}(x)) \times [0,\epsilon)$. Using this chart, we see that for any point $y = (d,t,u) \in W \times [0,1] \times U$ in the domain of this chart,

there is a unique $\psi(y)$ in $W \times \{0\} \times U$ so that y is obtained by parallel transport of $\psi(y)$ along the path $t \mapsto (d,t)$ in $W \times [0,1]$. Since the parallel transport is unique if it exists, $\psi(y)$ is independent of the choice of chart.

By compactness of Δ^i and K there exists a finite number of such charts so that the open subsets $\phi_j(\mathrm{int}(D^i_{\eta_j}(x_j)) \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \subset W \times \{0\} \times U$ cover $\Delta^i \times \{0\} \times K$. Let ϵ the minimum of the finitely many ϵ_i's, and let W' and U' open sets containing Δ^i and K so that $W' \times \{0\} \times U' \subset \bigcup_j \phi_j(\mathrm{int}(D^i_{\eta_j}(x_j)) \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

We define $G(d,t,u)$ to be the point $z \in W' \times [0,\epsilon] \times M$ obtained by parallel transport of u along the path $t \mapsto (d,t)$ in $W' \times [0,\epsilon)$. Uniqueness of parallel transport implies this is an embedding, and by construction we have that $\tilde{G}^*\mathcal{H} = \pi^*(\mathcal{H}_0|_{W' \times U'})$. □

Lemma 7.5. *We have that* $\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(-)$ *is microflexible.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the restriction map

$$\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(R \subset M) \to \mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(Q \subset M)$$

is a microfibration for $Q \subset R$ compact submanifolds with corners in M. In each diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^i \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(R \subset M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^i \times [0,1] & \xrightarrow[H]{} & \mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(Q \subset M) \end{array}$$

we must find a partial lift. Note that the map h is represented by a neighborhood W of Δ^i in $\mathbb{R}^i$, a neighborhood U of R in M and a foliation $\mathcal{H}_0$ on $W \times \{0\} \times U$ transverse to the projection onto $W \times \{0\}$. Similarly H is represented by a neighborhood W of Δ^i in $\mathbb{R}^i$, a neighborhood V of Q in M and a foliation $\mathcal{H}$ on $W \times [0,1] \times V$ transverse to the projection onto $W \times [0,1]$. We may assume $V \subset U$. Now apply Lemma 7.4 to the compact subset Q of the manifold V, to obtain an embedding G such that $G^*\mathcal{H}$ is a product (we may assume $W' = W$ and $U' = U$ in the notation of that lemma). By isotopy extension we can find a family compactly-supported CAT-isomorphisms $\Lambda\colon W \times [0,\epsilon] \to \mathrm{CAT}_c(U)$ so that $\Lambda|_{W \times \{0\}}$ is the identity and Λ agrees with G in a neighborhood of Q. Pushing forward the product $\mathcal{H}_0 \times [0,\epsilon]$ along Λ gives a foliation on $W \times [0,\epsilon] \times U$. □

The following is closely related tp Theorems 4 and 5 of [Thu74], and was stated before as Corollary D.

Theorem 7.6 (Thurston)**.** *There is a flexible* CAT*-invariant topological sheaf* $\mathrm{Fol}^f_{\mathrm{CAT}}(-)$*, whose values are weakly equivalent to a space of sections with fiber* $\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, so that*

$$j\colon \mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M,b) \to \mathrm{Fol}^f_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M, j(b))$$

is a homology equivalence for all CAT*-manifolds* M *and boundary conditions* $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{CAT}}}(\partial M)$*.*

Remark 7.7. For $\mathrm{CAT} = \mathrm{Diff}, \mathrm{Top}$, one can identify the fibers of the section space weakly equivalent to $\mathrm{Fol}^f_{\mathrm{CAT}}(M)$ as the homotopy fiber of $B\Gamma^{\mathrm{CAT}}_n \to B\mathrm{CAT}(n)$, were $B\Gamma^{\mathrm{CAT}}_n$ is the so-called *codimension* n *Haefliger space*. The case $\mathrm{CAT} = \mathrm{PL}$ is more subtle, see [GF73, GF74].

This theorem has the following corollary, which appears on page 306 of [Thu74].

Corollary 7.8 (Mather-Thurston)**.** *We have that* $\tilde{H}_*(\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{Top}}(M,b)) = 0$ *for all manifolds* M *and boundary conditions* $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{Top}}}(\partial M)$*. Thus* $B\mathrm{Top}_c^\delta(\mathrm{int}(M)) \to B\mathrm{Top}_c^\delta(\mathrm{int}(M))$ *is a homology equivalence.*

Proof. In [Mat71], Mather proved $\tilde{H}_*(B\mathrm{Top}_c^\delta(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 0$. Furthermore, $B\mathrm{Top}_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq *$ by the Alexander trick, so that by Lemma 7.3 we have $\tilde{H}_*(\mathrm{Fol}_{\mathrm{Top}}(D^n, \mathrm{id})) = 0$ as well. Theorem 7.6 thus says that $\Omega^n(\mathrm{Fol}^f_{\mathrm{Top}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is acyclic. Since its an n-fold loop space and hence simple, this implies it is weakly contractible. For the remaining homotopy groups of $\mathrm{Fol}^f_{\mathrm{Top}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $k \leq n$ we have that $\pi_k(\mathrm{Fol}^f_{\mathrm{Top}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is in bijection with concordance classes of codimension n topological foliations on the n-dimensional manifold $S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, but there is only one such foliation. We conclude that $\mathrm{Fol}^f_{\mathrm{Top}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is weakly contractible, so by Theorem 7.6 the corollary follows. □

Appendix A. Categories of spaces

In this appendix we describe a category of "spaces" that in our opinion is most convenient for studying h-principles: sheaves on parametrizing manifolds. We will also discuss three other choices, and their advantages and disadvantages.

A.1. **Sheaves on parametrizing manifolds.** A convenient category of spaces S is the category $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ of sheaves on parametrizing manifolds. Before getting into the details, we state three reasons to prefer $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ as one's notion of spaces:

- It has convenient technical properties, in particular concerning colimits.
- It is easy to do constructions locally in the parametrizing object.
- It is a natural setting for geometric objects such as spaces of smooth structures or foliations. For example, it was used in [MW07] and [EGM11].

In the remainder of this subsection we will define $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ and show that the constructions of this paper make sense in this context.

A.1.1. *Basic definitions.* We fix a category of manifolds, i.e. let CAT be Diff, PL or Top.

Definition A.1. We let CAT be the category with objects the CAT-manifolds with empty boundary, and morphisms the CAT-maps. We will call its objects *parametrizing manifolds*.

Remark A.2. It may be desirable to restrict to submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^\infty$, so that CAT is a small category. We will ignore this.

Definition A.3. Let $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ be the category defined as follows:

- Objects are the *sheaves on parametrizing manifolds*, i.e. functors $F\colon \mathsf{CAT}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathsf{Set}$ such that for all CAT-manifolds X and all open covers $\{U_i\}$ of X the following diagram is an equalizer of sets
$$F(X) \to \prod_i F(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} F(U_i \cap U_j)$$
- Morphisms are natural transformations.

Many desirable properties of the category of sheaves on parametrizing manifolds follow from the fact that it is a Grothendieck topos. In particular it is complete and cocomplete.

We often think of $F(X)$ as X-indexed families of objects and hence of F as a moduli space. In fact, if X is a CAT-manifold then the Yoneda lemma says that the representable functor $\mathrm{CAT}(-,X)$ has the property that the set of natural transformation $\mathrm{CAT}(-,X) \to F$ equals $F(X)$. Thus if we use the shorthand denoting $\mathrm{CAT}(-,X)$ by X, the following alternative notion is unambiguous:

Convention A.4. $X \xrightarrow{f} F$ is alternative notation for an element f of $F(X)$.

The following example should also illuminate this notation.

Example A.5. There is a functor $S\colon \mathsf{Top} \to \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ given by $S(Z) \coloneqq (X \mapsto \mathrm{Map}(X,Z))$, with $\mathrm{Map}(X,Z)$ the set of continuous maps $X \to Z$.

A.1.2. *Extension to pairs.* It is helpful to consider a relative version of $F(X)$, defined on pairs of a manifold and a closed subset.

Definition A.6. Suppose we are given a closed subset $A \subset X$, an open subset $U \subset X$ containing A, and an element $a \in F(U)$. Let I_A be the directed set of open neighborhoods $W \subset U$ of A (where there is a unique morphism $W \to W'$ if $W' \subset W$). We then define $F(X, A \operatorname{rel} a)$ as

$$F(X, A \operatorname{rel} a) = \operatorname*{colim}_{W \in \mathsf{I}_A} F(X, W \operatorname{rel} a|_W)$$

where $F(X, W \operatorname{rel} a|_W)$ is the inverse image of $a|_W$ under the restriction map $F(X) \to F(W)$.

One easily sees this definition only depends on the germ of a near A. We will occasionally drop A from the notation, when it is clear from the context.

A.1.3. *Extension to manifolds with corners.* There is a natural extension of a sheaf on parametrizing manifolds from manifolds without boundary to manifolds with corners.

Definition A.7. If X is a manifold with corners contained in $Y \in \mathsf{CAT}$, then let I_X be the directed set of open neighborhoods U of X in Y (where there is a unique morphism $U \to U'$ if $U' \subset U$). We define

$$F(X \subset Y) = \operatorname*{colim}_{U \in \mathsf{I}_X} F(U)$$

There is also a relative version of $F(X \subset Y)$ with respect to a germ a in $A \subset X$, the notation for which is $F(X \subset Y, A \operatorname{rel} a)$.

Note that if we have $X \subset Y$ and $X \subset Y'$ as above, with Y and Y' of the same dimension, then there is an isomorphism $F(X \subset Y) \cong F(X \subset Y')$, which depends only on a choice of an embedding $\phi\colon U \hookrightarrow Y'$ of neighborhood U of X in Y such that $\phi|_X = \mathrm{id}|_X$. We will occasionally drop Y from the notation, when it is clear from the context.

A.1.4. *Internal mapping spaces.* The category $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ has certain internal mapping spaces. Suppose X is a manifold with corners contained in $Y \in \mathsf{CAT}$, $A \subset X$ is closed and $a \in F(A \subset X)$.

Definition A.8. We set $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}}(X, F \operatorname{rel} a)$ to be the sheafification of the presheaf that assigns to a parametrizing manifold P the set $F(X \times P \operatorname{rel} a \times P)$, where $a \times P$ denotes the pullback of a along the map $X \times P \to X$.

A.1.5. *Weak equivalences of sheaves on parametrizing manifolds.* There are several equivalent ways to define weak equivalences of sheaves on parametrizing manifolds.

Firstly, we can extract two weakly equivalent simplicial sets out of F. To do so, note there is a faithful functor $\Delta \to \mathsf{CAT}$, sending $[n]$ to the extended n-simplex Δ^n_e, defined as the span of the basis vectors e_i in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Using these manifolds we can construct a simplicial set $\Delta_e(F)_\bullet$ with $\Delta_e(F)_n \coloneqq F(\Delta^n_e)$, and this gives a functor

$$\Delta_e \colon \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}} \to \mathsf{sSet}$$

Alternatively, we can think of the standard simplices as manifolds with corners, and define a simplicial set $\Delta(F)_n \coloneqq F(\Delta^n \subset \Delta^n_e)$, giving a functor

$$\Delta \colon \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}} \to \mathsf{sSet}$$

Note that for general F, neither $\Delta_e(F)$ or $\Delta(F)$ is Kan.

Lemma A.9. *Restriction gives a natural weak equivalence $\Delta_e \to \Delta$ of functors $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}} \to \mathsf{sSet}$.*

Since disks and spheres are manifolds, we can define homotopy groups of a sheaf on parametrizing manifolds without leaving the world of sheaves. If $f_0 \in F(*)$, then $\pi_n(F, f_0)$ is given by the equivalence classes of $f \in F(D^n, \partial D^n \text{ rel } f_0)$, where we remark that f_0 can be pulled back along the unique map from a neighborhood U of ∂D^n in D^n to $*$. The equivalence relation says that f is equivalent to f' if there exists an element $\bar{f} \in F(D^n \times [0,1], \partial D^{n-1} \times [0,1] \text{ rel } f_0)$ such that $\bar{f}|_{D^n \times \{0\}} = f$ and $\bar{f}|_{D^n \times \{1\}} = f'$. Note that this coincides with π_0 of the internal mapping space object from D^n to F relative to f_0 on ∂D^n, or from $S^n \to F$ relative to f_0 on $*$.

We can also define the relative homotopy $\pi_n(\eta)$ of a map $\eta \colon F \to G$. It is given by equivalence classes of pairs (g, f) of $g \in G(D^n)$ and $f \in F(\partial D^n)$ such that $g|_{\partial D^n} = \eta(f)$. The equivalence relation says that (g, f) is equivalent to (g', f') if there exists a $\bar{g} \in G(D^n \times [0,1])$ and $\bar{f} \in F(\partial D^n \times [0,1])$ such that $\bar{g}|_{\partial D^n \times [0,1]} = \eta(\bar{f})$, $\bar{f}|_{D^n \times \{1\}}$, $\bar{g}|_{D^n \times \{0\}} = g$, $\bar{f}|_{\partial D^n \times \{0\}} = f$, $\bar{g}|_{D^n \times \{1\}} = g'$, and $\bar{f}|_{\partial D^n \times \{1\}} = f'$. It is a standard argument that $\pi_n(\eta) = 0$ for all n if and only if η induces an isomorphism on π_n for all n and base points f_0.

Lemma A.10. *Let $\eta \colon F \to G$ be a map of sheaves on parametrizing manifolds. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) For all n and f_0, $\eta \colon F \to G$ induces a bijection $\pi_n(F, f_0) \to \pi_n(G, \eta(f_0))$.
(ii) $\eta \colon \Delta(F) \to \Delta(G)$ is a weak equivalence.

By Lemma A.9 we may replace Δ by Δ_e. By the remarks preceding the lemma, we may also phrase everything in terms of relative homotopy groups.

Definition A.11. We say that a map $\eta \colon F \to G$ of sheaves on parametrizing manifolds is a *weak equivalence* if one of the equivalent conditions of Lemma A.10 is satisfied.

Generalizing the remark about internal π_n being defined in terms of π_0 of an internal mapping space object, we have the following lemma.

Lemma A.12. *There is a natural weak equivalence between $|\Delta_e(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}}(X, F \text{ rel } a))|$ and $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{Top}}(X, |\Delta_e(F)| \text{ rel } a)$.*

A.1.6. *Homology equivalences of sheaves on parametrizing manifolds.* The discussion of homology equivalences involves the generalized homology theory Ω^{SO}_* known as oriented bordism. We can define Ω^{SO}_* on $F \in \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ directly. The abelian group $\Omega^{\mathrm{SO}}_k(X)$ is given by equivalence classes of pairs (M, f) of a k-dimensional oriented closed smooth manifold M and a element $f \in F(X)$, under the equivalence relation of oriented bordism. This equivalence relation says that (M, f) and (M', f') are equivalent if there is a $(k+1)$-dimensional oriented compact smooth manifold W together with a $\bar{f} \in F(W)$ such that $\partial W \cong M \cup \bar{M}'$ (where $\bar{M}'$ is M' with the opposite orientation) and $\bar{f}|_M = f$, $\bar{f}|_{M'} = f'$.

There is also a notion of relative oriented bordism groups for a map $\eta\colon F \to G$. The abelian group $\Omega^{\mathrm{SO}}_k(\eta)$ is given by equivalence classes of triples (M, g, f) of a smooth oriented manifold M with boundary ∂M, $g \in G(M)$ and $f \in F(\partial M)$ such that $g|_{\partial M} = \eta(f)$. The equivalence relation says that (M, g, f) and (M', g', f') are equivalent if there exists a bordism $(W, \partial W)$ of smooth oriented manifolds with boundary from $(M, \partial M)$ to $(M', \partial M')$, together with $\bar{g} \in G(W)$ and $\bar{f} \in F(\partial W)$, such that $\bar{g}|_{\partial W} = \eta(\bar{f})$ $\bar{g}|_M = g$, $\bar{f}|_{\partial M} = f$, $\bar{g}|_{M'} = g'$ and $\bar{f}|_{\partial M'} = f'$. It is a standard result that the relative bordism groups $\Omega^{\mathrm{SO}}_*(\eta)$ are 0 if and only if η induces an isomorphism on Ω^{SO}_*.

It is a well-known fact that a map $X \to Y$ of simplicial sets or topological spaces induces an isomorphism on homology if and only if it induces an isomorphism on oriented bordism. A reference for this is Appendix B of [EGM11], but it also follows from the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence.

Lemma A.13. *Let $\eta\colon F \to G$ be a map of sheaves on parametrizing manifolds. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $\eta\colon F \to G$ *induces an isomorphism on* Ω^{SO}_*,
(ii) $\eta\colon \Delta(F) \to \Delta(G)$ *is an oriented bordism equivalence,*
(iii) $\eta\colon \Delta(F) \to \Delta(G)$ *is a homology equivalence.*

Note that by Lemma A.9 we may replace Δ by Δ_e. By the remarks preceding the lemma, we may also phrase everything in terms of relative oriented bordism or homology groups.

Definition A.14. We say that a map $\eta\colon F \to G$ of sheaves on parametrizing manifolds is a *homology equivalence* if one of the equivalent properties of Lemma A.13 is satisfied.

A.2. **Other choices for the category of spaces.** In this section we discuss three other categories of spaces that can take the role of S in the paper, and compare them to $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$.

A.2.1. Top, *topological spaces.* The obvious choice for a category of spaces is the category Top of topological spaces. Unfortunately, this category does not behave well with respect to colimits, as we will discuss now.

One might expect that maps out of a compact space commute with filtered colimits, i.e. that compact spaces are compact objects in the categorical sense. This is false, as evidenced by the following counterexamples. Firstly, every compact metric space is the filtered colimit of its countable subsets with the subspace topology, but clearly not every map from a compact space into a compact metric space has countable image. Secondly, Page 50 of [Hov99] (also see the errata) has a counterexample where the colimit is sequential and X is the two point space with indiscrete topology .

However, it is true that a map $g\colon X \to \operatorname{colim}_{\mathsf{I}} F$ factors over some $F(i)$ under some more restrictive conditions on X and the diagram F. Recall that an inclusion $X \to Y$ is *relatively* T_1 if for any open U in X and any $z \in Y \setminus U$, there is an open subset V of Y such that $U \subset V$ and $z \notin V$. The following is Lemma A.3 of [DI04].

Lemma A.15. *Any map $X \to \operatorname{colim}_{\mathsf{I}} F$ factors over some $F(i)$ if I is sequential, all maps $F(i) \to F(j)$ are relatively T_1, and X is compact.*

A.2.2. sSet*, simplicial sets.* Simplicial sets are well-behaved with respect to colimits. In particular, every map $X \to \operatorname{colim}_{\mathsf{I}} F$ factors over some $F(i)$. An additional advantage of simplicial sets is that it is easy to write down spaces of smooth structures or foliations. Their main disadvantage is that it is not easy to do local constructions. In particular, many of our proofs would require barycentric subdivisions.

A.2.3. QTop*, quasitopological spaces.* Quasitopological spaces are a concept originally due to Spanier and Whitehead, and Gromov used them to replace spaces in [Gro86]. They are well-behaved with respect to colimits and allow for easy local constructions. We repeat their definition for the convenience of the reader:

Definition A.16. A *quasitopological space* consists of a set A and for each topological space Z a subset $A(Z)$ of $\operatorname{Fun}(Z, A)$ (the functions of underlying sets from Z to A) called "continuous." These have to satisfy:

(i) If $f\colon Z \to Z'$ is continuous and $g \in A(Z')$, then $g \circ f \in A(Z)$.
(ii) If $\{U_i\}$ is an open cover of Z, then $f\colon Z \to A$ is in $A(Z)$ if all $f|_{U_i} \in A(U_i)$.
(iii) If $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ with Z_1, Z_2 closed, then $f \in A(Z)$ if $f|_{Z_i} \in A(Z_i)$.

A map of quasitopological spaces is a map $F\colon A \to A'$ such that for all Z we have $(F \circ -)(A(Z)) \subset A'(Z)$. We denote this category QTop.

It seems unnecessary that Z ranges over all topological spaces, including very wild ones. Indeed, other types of quasitopological spaces are appear in the literature. For example, Essay V of [KS77] restricts the test spaces from all spaces to either Hausdorff compacta or polyhedra. Furthermore, quasitopological spaces do not allow for the full generality of sheaves one might want to treat (e.g. foliations and smooth structures), without making some unnatural choices.

A.2.4. *Comparing categories of spaces.* We explain how to compare these different categories of spaces. We start with Top, QTop and sSet.

There is a functor $E\colon \mathsf{QTop} \to \mathsf{sSet}$ given by $E(A)_n := A(\Delta^n)$. There is also a functor $Q\colon \mathsf{Top} \to \mathsf{QTop}$ given by $Q(X) := (Z \mapsto \operatorname{Map}(Z, X))$, with $\operatorname{Map}(Z, X)$ the set of continuous maps. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Top} & \xrightarrow{Q} & \mathsf{QTop} \\ & \searrow^{\mathrm{Sing}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle E} \\ & & \mathsf{sSet} \end{array}$$

The functor Sing is part of a Quillen equivalence between simplicial sets with the Quillen model structure and topological spaces with the Quillen model structure. We expect there

exists also a model structure on quasitopological spaces such that E is part of a Quillen equivalence with simplicial sets with the Quillen model structure, but do not know a reference for this.

In Subsection A.1 we gave functors relating Top, sSet and $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$. In particular, recall that there is a functor $S\colon \mathsf{Top} \to \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$, given by $S(Z) \coloneqq (P \mapsto \mathrm{Map}(P, Z))$, with $\mathrm{Map}(X, Z)$ the set of continuous maps $X \to Z$. There is also a functor $S'\colon \mathsf{QTop} \to \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ given by $S'(A) \coloneqq (P \mapsto A(P))$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Top} & \xrightarrow{Q} & \mathsf{QTop} \\ & \searrow^{S} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle S'} \\ & & \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}} \end{array}$$

We also gave a functor $\Delta_e\colon \mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}} \to \mathsf{sSet}$, which does not satisfy $\Delta_e \circ S = \mathrm{Sing}$. However, we do have the following.

Lemma A.17. *There is a natural weak equivalence $\Delta_e \circ S \to \mathrm{Sing}$ of functors $\mathsf{Top} \to \mathsf{sSet}$.*

A weak or homology equivalence in $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ becomes a weak or homology equivalence of simplicial sets upon applying Δ_e. Thus this lemma says there is no loss in working with $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ if one is interested in the homotopy or homology groups of a space.

However, in contrast to quasitopological spaces, we can make a more precise comparison. In Section 6.1 of [Cis03], Cisinski discusses geometric models for the homotopy category of spaces. In particular, he constructs a model structure on $\mathsf{Sh}^{\mathsf{CAT}}$ which is Quillen equivalent to sSet with the Quillen model structure.

Appendix B. A lemma about microfibrations

In this section we prove a relative version of Lemma 2.2 of [Wei05]. This relative version is about comparing a microfibration to a Serre fibration with weakly equivalent fibers.

Lemma B.1. *If we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{g} & E' \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p'} \\ B & \xrightarrow[f]{} & B' \end{array}$$

such that

(i) p is a microfibration,
(ii) p' is a Serre fibration,
(iii) for all $b \in B$, the induced map $g\colon p^{-1}(b) \to (p')^{-1}(f(b))$ is a weak equivalence,

then p is a Serre fibration.

Proof. Our proof postpones to Lemma B.2 the reduction to proving lifting with respect to $\Delta^i \times \{0\} \to \Delta^i \times [0,1]$ only for $i = 0$. The reason for postponing this lemma is that its proof is a more involved version of the argument in this proof.

So from now we assume $i = 0$. Since pullbacks of Serre fibrations are Serre fibrations, without loss of generality $B' = B$. Our goal is then to find a lift for the left square in every commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{0\} & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{g} & E' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p'} \\ [0,1] & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} & B & = & B \end{array}$$

We start by noting that we can find a lift $\ell\colon [0,1] \to E'$ along p', as p' is a Serre fibration. Next, for all $t \in [0,1]$, we pick a point $L_t \in p^{-1}(\beta(t))$ such that $g(L_t)$ and $\ell(t)$ are in the same path component of $(p')^{-1}(\beta(t))$. This exists since g in particular is a π_0-surjection on fibers. By the microfibration property we can extend these elements to lifts $[t-\epsilon_t, t+\epsilon_t] \to E$ over $\beta|_{[t-\epsilon_t,t+\epsilon_t]}$. By compactness of $[0,1]$ there exists an integer $N > 0$ and maps $L_j\colon [j/N,(j+1)/N] \to E$ with following properties:

(a) the composition $p \circ \ell_j$ equals $\beta|_{[j/N,(j+1)/N]}$.
(b) for each j there exists an $s_j \in [j/N,(j+1)/N]$ such that $g \circ L_j(s_j)$ is in the same path component of $(p')^{-1}(\beta(s_j))$ as $l(s_j)$.

Since p' is Serre fibration, we can replace (b) with the condition that for each j and all $s \in [j/N,(j+1)/N]$ we have that g maps $L_j(s)$ to the same path component of $(p')^{-1}(\beta(s))$ as $l(s)$.

We will construct the lift $L\colon [0,1] \to E$ by induction over j. Hence it suffices to consider the situation where we have a lift L_0 over $\{0\}$ and L_1 over $[0,1]$. As g maps $L_0(0)$ and $L_1(0)$ to the same path component of $(p')^{-1}(\beta(0))$, by (iii) they lie in the same path component of $p^{-1}(\beta(0))$ and hence we can find a path k in $p^{-1}(\beta(0))$ connecting them. The concatenation of k and L_1 is a map $\tilde{L}\colon [0,1] \to E$ with the following properties: let κ_0 be the piecewise linear map $[0,1] \to [0,1]$ determined by sending 0 and $1/2$ to 0 while sending 1 to 1, then $p \circ \tilde{L} = \beta \circ \kappa_0$.

Sending $1/2$ to $t/2$ instead gives a family κ_t of piecewise linear maps starting at κ_0, such that κ_t is a homeomorphism for all positive t. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [0,1] \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{L}} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ [0,1] \times [0,1] & \xrightarrow[\beta\circ(\pi_2\times\kappa)]{} & B \end{array}$$

and the fact that p is a microfibration implies there exists a partial lift $K\colon [0,1] \times [0,\epsilon] \to E$. Then the reparametrization $L \coloneqq K|_{[0,1]\times\{\epsilon\}} \circ \kappa_\epsilon^{-1}$ satisfies $p \circ L = \beta \circ \pi_2$. □

Lemma 2.2 of [Wei05] follows by taking $E' \to B'$ to be the identity map $B \to B$. A relative version of Proposition 2.6 of [GRW14] is proven similarly.

Lemma B.2. *Suppose we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{g} & E' \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p'} \\ B & \xrightarrow[f]{} & B' \end{array}$$

such that p is a microfibration, p' is a Serre fibration, and for all $b \in B$, the induced map on fibers $g\colon p^{-1}(b) \to (p')^{-1}(f(b))$ is a weak equivalence.

Then we have that in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}(I,E) & \xrightarrow{g^I} & \mathrm{Map}(I,E') \\ {\scriptstyle p^I}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (p')^I} \\ \mathrm{Map}(I,B) & \xrightarrow[f^I]{} & \mathrm{Map}(I,B') \end{array}$$

the map p^I is a microfibration, $(p')^I$ is a Serre fibration, and for all paths $\beta\colon I \to B$, the induced map on fibers $g^I\colon (g^I)^{-1}(\beta) \to ((p')^I)^{-1}(f^I(\beta))$ is a weak equivalence.

Proof. Since pullbacks of Serre fibrations are Serre fibrations, without loss of generality $B' = B$. Using the adjunction between $- \times I$ and $\mathrm{Map}(I, -)$, one easily deduces that the map $p^I\colon \mathrm{Map}(I,E) \to \mathrm{Map}(I,B)$ is a microfibration and the map $(p')^I\colon \mathrm{Map}(I,E') \to \mathrm{Map}(I,B)$ is a Serre fibration. So it remains to check that g^I induces a weak equivalence on fibers.

We fix a path $\beta\colon I \to B$ and will prove that g^I is a weak equivalence on the fibers over β. To do so, we must consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^i \times I & \xrightarrow{h} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \Delta^i \times I & \xrightarrow[H]{} & E' \end{array} \tag{3}$$

such that $p \circ h = \beta \circ \pi_2$ and $p' \circ H = \beta \circ \pi_2$. It suffices to find a lift L making the top triangle commute and the bottom triangle commute up to homotopy rel $\partial\Delta^i \times I$ and preserving the map to B.

Since g is a weak equivalence on fibers of p and p', for each map $H_t\colon \Delta^i \times \{t\} \to (p')^{-1}(\beta(t)) \subset E'$ we can find a map $L_t\colon \Delta^i \times \{t\} \to p^{-1}(\beta(t))$ such that $L_t|_{\partial\Delta^i \times \{t\}} = h_t$ and that $g \circ L_t$ is homotopic to H_t rel $\partial\Delta^i \times \{t\}$ in $(p')^{-1}(\beta(t))$. By microflexiblity we can extend these lifts to $\Delta^i \times [t-\epsilon_t, t+\epsilon_t]$ for some $\epsilon_t > 0$, rel $\partial\Delta^i \times [t-\epsilon_t, t+\epsilon_t]$ on which we already have the lift $h|_{\partial\Delta^i \times [t-\epsilon_t, t+\epsilon_t]}$. By compactness of $[0,1]$ there exists an integer $N > 0$ and maps $L_j\colon \Delta^i \times [j/N, (j+1)/N] \to E$ with following properties:

(a) the restriction of L_j to $\partial\Delta^i \times [j/N, (j+1)/N]$ equal the restriction of h to $\partial\Delta^i \times [j/N, (j+1)/N]$,
(b) the composition $p \circ L_j|_{\Delta^i \times [j/N,(j+1)/N]}$ equals $\beta|_{[j/N,(j+1)/N]} \circ \pi_2$,
(c) for each j there exists an $s_j \in [j/N, (j+1)/N]$ such that $g \circ L_j|_{\Delta^i \times \{s_j\}}$ is homotopic rel $\partial\Delta^i \times \{s_j\}$ to $H|_{\Delta^i \times \{s_j\}}$ in $(p')^{-1}(\beta(s_j))$.

Since p' is Serre fibration, we can replace (c) with the condition that for each j and all $s \in [j/N, (j+1)/N]$ we have that $g \circ L_j|_{\Delta^i \times \{s\}}$ is homotopic rel $\partial\Delta^i \times \{s\}$ to $H|_{\Delta^i \times \{s\}}$ in $(p')^{-1}(\beta(s))$.

We will construct the lift $L\colon \Delta^i \times I \to E$ by induction over j. Hence it suffices to consider the situation where we have a lift L_0 over $\Delta^i \times \{0\}$ and L_1 over $\Delta^i \times [0,1]$, which satisfy

- $L_0|_{\partial\Delta^i \times \{0\}} = h|_{\partial\Delta^i \times \{0\}}$, $p \circ L_0 = \beta \circ \pi_2$, and $g \circ L_0 = H|_{\Delta^i \times \{0\}}$,
- $L_1|_{\partial\Delta^i \times I} = h$,
- $p \circ L_1 = \beta \circ \pi_2$, and $g \circ L_1|_{\Delta^i \times \{0\}}$ is homotopic rel $\partial\Delta^i \times \{0\}$ to $H|_{\Delta^i \times \{0\}} = g \circ L_0$ in $(p')^{-1}(\beta(0))$.

Here for the last part of (a) we used that we are free to change H up to homotopy rel $\partial\Delta^i \times [0,1]$ and over p'.

Now let k denote a homotopy in $p^{-1}(\beta(0))$ from L_0 to $L_1|_{\Delta^i \times \{0\}}$ rel $\partial\Delta^i \times \{0\}$. This exists by (c) and the fact that g is a weak equivalence on fibers. The concatenation of k and L_1 is a map $\tilde{L}\colon \Delta^i \times [0,1] \to E$ with the following properties: let κ_0 be the piecewise linear map $[0,1] \to [0,1]$ determined by sending 0 and $1/2$ to 0 while sending 1 to 1, then $g \circ \tilde{L} = H \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \kappa_0)$ and $\tilde{H}|_{\partial\Delta^i \times [0,1]} = h \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \kappa_0)$. Since $g \circ \tilde{L}|_{\Delta^i \times \{0\}} = H$ and p' is a Serre fibration, we have that $g \circ \tilde{L}$ is homotopic to H rel $\partial\Delta^i \times [0,1]$ and over p'.

Sending $1/2$ to $t/2$ instead gives a family κ_t of piecewise linear maps starting at κ_0, such that κ_t is a homeomorphism for all positive t. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^i \times [0,1] \times \{0\} \cup \partial\Delta^i \times [0,1] \times [0,1] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{L} \cup (h \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \pi_2 \times \kappa))} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \Delta^i \times [0,1] \times [0,1] & \xrightarrow[\beta \circ (\pi_2 \times \kappa)]{} & B \end{array}$$

and the fact that p is a microfibration implies there exists a partial lift $K\colon \Delta^i \times [0,1] \times [0,\epsilon] \to E$. Then the reparametrization $L \coloneqq K|_{\Delta^i \times [0,1] \times \{\epsilon\}} \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \kappa_\epsilon^{-1})$ satisfies $p \circ L = \beta \circ \pi_2$ and $L|_{\partial\Delta^i \times [0,1]} = h$. Finally, it is clear that $g \circ L$ is homotopic to H rel $\partial\Delta^i \times [0,1]$ and over p. □

References

[AGZV12] V. I. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, and A. N. Varchenko, *Singularities of differentiable maps. Volume 1*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012, Classification of critical points, caustics and wave fronts, Translated from the Russian by Ian Porteous based on a previous translation by Mark Reynolds, Reprint of the 1985 edition. MR 2896292 25, 31, 32

[BL74] Dan Burghelea and Richard Lashof, *The homotopy type of the space of diffeomorphisms. I, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **196** (1974), 1–36; ibid. 196 (1974), 37–50. MR 0356103 (50 #8574) 5

[Cis03] Denis-Charles Cisinski, *Faisceaux localement asphériques*, preprint (2003), http://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/~dcisinsk/mtest2.pdf. 44

[DI04] Daniel Dugger and Daniel C. Isaksen, *Topological hypercovers and $\mathbb{A}^1$-realizations*, Math. Z. **246** (2004), no. 4, 667–689. MR 2045835 (2005d:55026) 43

[Dot14] Emanuele Dotto, *A relative h-principle via cobordism-like categories*, An alpine expedition through algebraic topology, Contemp. Math., vol. 617, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014, pp. 133–155. MR 3243396 3

[EGM11] Yakov Eliashberg, Søren Galatius, and Nikolai Mishachev, *Madsen-Weiss for geometrically minded topologists*, Geom. Topol. **15** (2011), no. 1, 411–472. MR 2776850 39, 42

[EM00] Y. M. Eliashberg and N. M. Mishachev, *Wrinkling of smooth mappings. II. Wrinkling of embeddings and K. Igusa's theorem*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 4, 711–732. MR 1760426 (2001g:57058) 3, 30

[EM02] Y. Eliashberg and N. Mishachev, *Introduction to the h-principle*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 48, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR 1909245 (2003g:53164) 2, 22, 25

[EM12] Y. M. Eliashberg and N. M. Mishachev, *The space of framed functions is contractible*, Essays in mathematics and its applications, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 81–109. MR 2975585 3, 34

[GF73] I. M. Gel′fand and D. B. Fuks, PL *foliations*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **7** (1973), no. 4, 29–37. MR 0339195 38

[GF74] ———, PL *foliations. II*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **8** (1974), no. 3, 7–11. MR 0418115 38

[GG73] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable mappings and their singularities*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 14. MR 0341518 (49 #6269) 22, 25

[Gro86] Mikhael Gromov, *Partial differential relations*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR 864505 (90a:58201) 2, 6, 8, 11, 24, 43

[GRW14] Soren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams, *Homological stability for moduli spaces of high dimensional manifolds, I*, preprint (2014), http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.2334. 45

[GTMW09] Søren Galatius, Ulrike Tillmann, Ib Madsen, and Michael Weiss, *The homotopy type of the cobordism category*, Acta Math. **202** (2009), no. 2, 195–239. MR 2506750 (2011c:55022) 30

[Hov99] Mark Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR 1650134 (99h:55031) 42

[Igu84a] Kiyoshi Igusa, *Higher singularities of smooth functions are unnecessary*, Ann. of Math. (2) **119** (1984), no. 1, 1–58. MR 736559 (85k:57034) 30

[Igu84b] ———, *On the homotopy type of the space of generalized Morse functions*, Topology **23** (1984), no. 2, 245–256. MR 744854 (86m:57034) 31

[Igu87] ———, *The space of framed functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), no. 2, 431–477. MR 882699 (88g:57034) 34, 35

[Igu88] ———, *The stability theorem for smooth pseudoisotopies*, K-Theory **2** (1988), no. 1-2, vi+355. MR 972368 (90d:57035) 32

[Igu02] ———, *Higher Franz-Reidemeister torsion*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Somerville, MA, 2002. MR 1945530 34

[KS77] Robion C. Kirby and Laurence C. Siebenmann, *Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings, and triangulations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1977, With notes by John Milnor and Michael Atiyah, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 88. MR 0645390 (58 #31082) 5, 11, 43

[Loj64] S. Lojasiewicz, *Triangulation of semi-analytic sets*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) **18** (1964), 449–474. MR 0173265 (30 #3478) 27

[Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *On the classification of topological field theories*, Current developments in mathematics, 2008, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009, pp. 129–280. MR 2555928 (2010k:57064) 34

[Mat71] John N. Mather, *The vanishing of the homology of certain groups of homeomorphisms*, Topology **10** (1971), 297–298. MR 0288777 39

[May72] J. P. May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271. MR 0420610 (54 #8623b) 1

[MS76] D. McDuff and G. Segal, *Homology fibrations and the "group-completion" theorem*, Invent. Math. **31** (1975/76), no. 3, 279–284. MR 0402733 (53 #6547) 19

[MW07] Ib Madsen and Michael Weiss, *The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 3, 843–941. MR 2335797 30, 39

[RW12] Rui Reis and Michael S. Weiss, *Smooth maps to the plane and Pontryagin classes Part I: Local aspects*, Port. Math. **69** (2012), no. 1, 41–67. MR 2900651 32

[RW14] Rui Reis and Michael Weiss, *Functor calculus and the discriminant method*, Q. J. Math. **65** (2014), no. 3, 1069–1110. MR 3261981 32, 33

[Seg74] Graeme Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312. MR 0353298 (50 #5782) 19

[Sie71] L. C. Siebenmann, *Topological manifolds*, 133–163. MR 0423356 37

[Sma59] Stephen Smale, *The classification of immersions of spheres in Euclidean spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **69** (1959), 327–344. MR 0105117 (21 #3862) 1

[Thu74] William Thurston, *Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 304–307. MR 0339267 38, 39

[Vas92] V. A. Vassiliev, *Complements of discriminants of smooth maps: topology and applications*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 98, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992, Translated from the Russian by B. Goldfarb. MR 1168473 (94i:57020) 3, 24, 30

[Wei] Michael Weiss, *Immersion theory for homotopy theorists*. 3

[Wei05] ———, *What does the classifying space of a category classify?*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **7** (2005), no. 1, 185–195. MR 2175298 (2007d:57059) 44, 45

E-mail address: kupers@math.ku.dk

Institut for Matematiske Fag, Københavns Universitet, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Kbenhavn Ø.